1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	EMOTEKIN DISTRICT OF INEW YORK	
3	X	
4	In Re:	: 10-40156
5	MARIBELLAX GROUP LTD.,	
6	Debtor	: Brooklyn, New York
7	TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION TO DISMISS CASE OR CONVERT CASE  BEFORE THE HONORABLE CARLA E. CRAIG	
8		
9	UNITED STA	ATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
10	APPEARANCES:	
11	For the Debtor:	DANIEL C. MAROTTA, ESQ.
12		RICHARD M. GABOR, ESQ. Gabor & Marotta LLC
13		277 Broadway, Suite 1300 New York, New York 10007
14	For Sovereign Bank:	JOSEPH L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.
15	_	KEVIN J. LARNER, ESQ. Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland
16		& Perretti LLP One Speedwall Avenue
17		Morristown, New Jersey 07862
18		
19	For the U.S. Trustee:	Office of the United States Trustee BY: WILLIAM E. CURTIN, ESQ.
20		Assistant United States Trustee 271 Cadman Plaza East
21		Brooklyn, New York 11201
22		
23	Court Transcriber:	SHARI RIEMER TypeWrite Word Processing Service
24		211 N. Milton Road Saratoga Springs, New York 12866
25		baracoga springs, New 1011 12000
<u> </u>		
	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service	

```
(Proceedings began at 3:59 p.m.)
1
 2
              THE CLERK: MaribellaX Group.
 3
              Appearances, please...
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Joseph
 4
    Schwartz and Kevin Larner, Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland &
 5
    Perretti on behalf of Sovereign Bank.
 6
              MR. CURTIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. William
 7
 8
   Curtin for the United States Trustee.
9
              MR. MAROTTA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Daniel C.
   Marotta law firm of Gabor & Marotta LLC for the debtor.
10
11
              MR. GABOR: Richard Gabor also from Gabor & Marotta
    representing the debtor. Good afternoon again, Your Honor.
12
13
              THE COURT: Give me one minute.
14
                        [Pause in proceedings.]
15
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
16
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. As Your Honor
17
    is aware there's a number of matters on today's calendar.
18
    Unless Your Honor disagrees, I think that most important of
19
    those matters would be the motion to convert that was filed by
20
    Sovereign. The U.S. Trustee has also filed a motion to convert
21
    and unless Your Honor disagrees I would take that matter
22
    first.
23
              THE COURT: Yes, that will be fine.
24
              MR. SCHWARTZ: We filed a reply earlier today.
25
   not sure if Your Honor saw that.
```

THE COURT: Yes, I did.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. In our papers we set forth a number of things and I guess in arguing a motion to convert the thing that I would stress most is you have to look at the statute to begin the story, and the statute here is 1112(b)(1) which essentially says that absent unusual circumstances specifically identified by the court that establish that the request to conversion is not in the best interest of creditors in the estate the court shall convert the case, yada, yada, yada, unless if the movant establishes cause. I paraphrase.

THE COURT: Yada, yada, yada. Right. What is the -the question is where -- what is the cause. That's the
question.

MR. SCHWARTZ: The question is the cause. And, Your Honor, then you look at 1112(b)(4) which contains certain examples of cause and we've identified what we believe are four or five different examples of cause. The first example that we identify is gross mismanagement and Your Honor I'm sure recalls vividly the last couple of hearings we had in connection with the motion that Sovereign filed to prohibit the debtor's use of cash collateral and we would submit that number one, the debtor's filing on January 11<sup>th</sup> and failure to seek court approval or consent from Sovereign with respect to use of cash collateral in and of itself is gross mismanagement

which constitutes cause but that's not the end of the story because there's many other examples in this case. The court pointed out at the last hearing this case was in disarray. For example, Your Honor, we set forth and Your Honor might recall that we showed that the debtor has made since the bankruptcy filing many payments on a post-petition basis of pre-petition debts.

Now, last week I took the Rule 2004 examination of the debtor's principal, Mr. Kevin Love, and I asked among other things Mr. Love about those payments and he readily admitted yes, the debtor made payments of pre-petition expenses on a post-petition basis and I admit it, maybe I didn't understand it but we did it and we're not doing it any more, but there was many payments that were made on account of pre-petition expenses on a post-petition basis.

The debtor during the first three-and-a-half months or so of the case failed to set up a debtor-in-possession operating account. When we brought this to the court's attention the debtor then set up an account a week or two ago but for the first three-and-a-half months of the case debtor didn't set up a DIP account.

THE COURT: So I should -- you think that I should -- that a case is filed, there are problems, they're rectified then I should convert the case based upon the problems that have been rectified?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, Your Honor, I think that if -
THE COURT: If you could point out to me some

problems that haven't been rectified.

MR. SCHWARTZ: There are problems that have not been rectified and I'm giving you examples because there's many examples of what I view and I submit are examples of gross mismanagement. Some have been rectified; some haven't. The issue with regard to the payment of the pre-petition debt on a post-petition basis has not been rectified. That money has not come back to the debtor and so that has not and cannot I submit be rectified.

THE COURT: How much of that was paid?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, I don't recall the exact amount but it's definitely \$2,000.00 but I believe that we've given examples in our motion.

THE COURT: I'm thinking that maybe this needs to be set down for an evidentiary hearing.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, Your Honor, if I can continue because I think that there's 15 or so different examples of gross mismanagement and I --

THE COURT: Wouldn't you need to put on evidence of that?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, Your Honor, the debtor has essentially admitted in its monthly operating reports and we had colloquy at the last hearing. The debtor admitted it

before the court that he paid pre-petition debts on a postpetition basis. That's on example.

THE COURT: Well, how much? Is it a material amount?

MR. SCHWARTZ: It's definitely in the thousands of
dollars, Your Honor, and in a case like this I would submit
that that's material. On Pages 10 and 11 of our initial
moving papers, Your Honor, we've identified at least eight or
nine different examples that we culled from the monthly
operating reports and those are not the only examples because
when I questioned Mr. Love during his Rule 2004 there were
others as well but if you add up those payments I would submit
that it's probably in excess of \$10,000.00. For example,
there's \$7,000.00 payment to an insurance company. That was
made immediately after the bankruptcy filing on account of
pre-petition invoices and there's others.

THE COURT: This is to keep the insurance in place on the property though; right?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, Your Honor, the insurer obviously could not terminate insurance. I don't think that ever became an issue but they couldn't terminate insurance without getting stay relief. So certainly if the debtor was faced with the situation of the insurer trying to terminate insurance it could have come before this court and argued the doctrine of necessity and argued that it should be allowed to pay for certain pre-petition expenses but it didn't do that.

So we shouldn't assume that that's what was happening.

THE COURT: So this is the list that you -- is at Paragraph 24 of your moving papers?

MR. SCHWARTZ: It's actually Paragraph 30, Your Honor. These are just the examples, Your Honor. This is not the exclusive list.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SCHWARTZ: In addition, Your Honor, and as the court might recall, the debtor on a post-petition basis for three-and-a-half months was making checks paid out to cash which is not only a violation of the U.S. Trustee guidelines but essentially that's violative of the spirit of the bankruptcy code and one can argue that that has been rectified yet the only reason that anything has been rectified, Your Honor, is because Sovereign came in and asked the court to prohibit the use of cash collateral. The debtor was essentially operating the same way he had operated prepetition and the debtor was use — the debtor's principal was using the debtor as his piggy bank, and Ill get to that in a minute.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SCHWARTZ: So just because --

THE COURT: If that stopped and the money has been paid back, do we still need to dismiss the case based on that?

25 MR. SCHWARTZ: I'm not asking for dismissal --

THE COURT: I don't mean to say dismissed. Convert, because I know that's what the --

MR. SCHWARTZ: The answer is the money has not been paid back and so the answer is I submit that when cause exists the court has no discretion. It must convert the case unless there's unusual circumstances which I submit are not present here.

In addition to the payments of cash, Your Honor -THE COURT: So your view would be -- so you're saying
that post -- the gross mismanagement includes any kind of
post-petition diversion of funds for improper purposes and the
fact that you may have done it in the past and not doing it
now doesn't constitute the kinds of unusual circumstances that
would give the court discretion to not convert the case when
that is present, when that factor is present.

MR. SCHWARTZ: I submit to the court that if you look at the code and under Section 1112(b)(2) it specifically says that where the court determines at the end of the day that there were — that there are unusual circumstances the court identifies them specifically, and this is where the debtor objects to the motion to convert, which the debtor has done here, and the debtor would have the burden of establishing under 1112(b)(2) A and B number one, that there's a reasonable likelihood that a plan will be confirmed within the time frames established in Section 1121(e) and 1129(e) of this

```
title where if such sections do not apply within a reasonable period of time, and, B, the grounds for granting such relief be [inaudible] an act omission of the debtor other than under Paragraph 4(a) for which there exists a reasonable justification for the act or omission and that will be cured within a reasonable period of time fixed by the court.
```

So I submit to Your Honor, and I've only identified four or five different examples of gross mismanagement. There's many more but I submit to the court that, for example, the debtor cannot rectify the situation with respect to its payment of pre-petition debts on a post-petition basis and there's no reasonable justification for that act in any event. So the debtor can't meet its burden here.

THE COURT: Show me -- where's the debtor's outline? Here it is. It's (B)(2); correct?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes, (B)(2).

[Pause in proceedings.]

THE COURT: This Paragraph 2 is hard to understand. The relief provided in Paragraph 1 shall not be granted as relief provided in Paragraph 1 that's conversion shall not be granted as to unusual circumstances specifically identified to the court that establish that such relief is not in the best interest of the creditors and the estate. Conversion shall not be granted absent unusual circumstances -- okay -- that establishes -- not in -- if the debtor objects and shows that.

It seems like there's an extra not in there.

MR. SCHWARTZ: I agree but I think that one can understand what the intent behind the statute is.

THE COURT: Okay. So the debtor has to establish that there's a reasonable likelihood of a plan. Okay. So you would argue that neither of the two of A or B apply here.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, I would argue that the debtor cannot meet its burden of proof with respect to either A or B. I think they do apply. I just think the debtor can't meet its burden of proof.

There's other examples of cause as well, Your Honor, which we haven't gotten to yet but I don't think the debtor can establish either A or B and it must be both.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZ: May I go on with respect to --

THE COURT: Yes, you may. Why don't we continue to talk about cause?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll continue with respect to gross mismanagement because I believe that there's other examples.

The debtor, and the court might recall from the last hearing, that the debtor was paying a lease obligation, \$6,000.00 a month with respect to what the debtor identified as the Adamo lease and when I brought this to the court's attention in connection with the motion to prohibit use of

cash collateral the debtor said well, we're not using that property any more and so we're not going to -- we're going to stop making that payment and so -- but for the first three, four months of the case the debtor paid \$6,000.00 a month to someone named Adamo who I have no idea who that is for nothing, for no apparent reason when the debtor if he wasn't using that lease should have simply rejected that lease. So \$6,000.00 times four, \$24,000.00 went out which apparently shouldn't have and I guess the debtor is not going to be making any payments to Mr. or Ms. Adamo any more but nevertheless I think that that constitutes gross mismanagement. The debtor is just wasting money for no reason.

During the Rule 2000 [sic] examination of Mr. Love that I conducted last week I questioned Mr. Love specifically about certain payments that the debtor made on a post-petition basis vis-a-vis credit cards, BMW payments, cell phone payments, and the court might recall that this was the subject of colloquy at the last hearing. Mr. Love specifically admitted that the credit card payments were for his personal credit cards, that the car payment was for his personal BMW and that the cell phone was his personal cell phone. So those payments were all for -- which were unusual payments which shouldn't have been made for Mr. Love's personal obligation.

THE COURT: How much did that come to?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, in our papers we identify in our motion and I believe that's in Paragraph 24, Your Honor, there are certain things that we identified which total \$85,960.00 but some of the payments that I just talked about which are the personal credit cards and the BMW payments, Capital One for example, American Express for example, and they're in the thousands of dollars as Your Honor can see. That's in addition to payments that Mr. Love took out that were paid to him directly.

Your Honor might also recall that the payments that were made by the debtor to Maria Love who's the debtor's principal's daughter were the subject of colloquy at the last hearing and the debtor represented to the court that Ms. Love earns \$700.00 per week yet the payments to Ms. Love were well in excess of \$700.00 per week and in particular, Your Honor, there was repayment of \$6,100.00 loan to Ms. Love on postpetition basis. The debtor had no idea what that was for at the last hearing. That certainly is an improper payment as well in addition to the excess payments over the \$700.00 that were made on a weekly basis to Ms. Love.

There's also a payment that was identified during the Rule 2004 examination of Mr. Love to Maria Love's husband. His name is John Sassino [Ph.], a \$1,000.00 payment, but nevertheless it's unclear what that payment was for but another payment to a family member.

Then there's a number of payments on a post-petition basis to an entity called Brite Carting, B-R-I-T-E. This is an affiliated company that Mr. Love or his brother own and control. When I asked Kevin Love, the debtor's principal about this during his 2004 examination, he initially testified that the payments were for storage costs because Brite Carting apparently owns property across the street from where the debtor owns real property and there's a storage facility, a storage shed, and he said that the debtor was storing assets in Brite Carting storage shed and so the payments to Brite Carting were essentially storage for rent type costs.

Then when I presented Mr. Love with copies of the schedules and I asked him well, where are the assets that are identified that you just talked about, where are they identified in the schedules, he said well, I don't know what's stored in Brite Carting's storage shed. Maybe there's no assets of Maribella, the debtor. So he quickly back tracked from the previous testimony. The bottom line is the payments to Brite Carting are unusual and suspect and it's to an affiliated company.

There was a payment to the debtor's accountant, Ike, Sultan & Spike [Ph.], \$1,000.00, which we talked about at the last hearing. There are payments to Northfield Bank and something that's identified as the Berman loan which I guess Berman is a mortgagee of some type on one or more of the

1 properties.

THE COURT: Well, do they have a subordinated -- do they have a lien -- were they paid out of your client's collateral --

MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes.

THE COURT: -- or --

MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes. Mr. Berman apparently has a mortgage that the debtor contends is a mortgage on one of the Sovereign financed properties which is subordinated to Sovereign and Mr. Berman has been getting payments throughout time during the normal course every month.

THE COURT: While your client wasn't being paid?

MR. SCHWARTZ: While my client has not been getting paid, exactly, Your Honor.

Then, Your Honor, Your Honor might recall that pursuant to the court's April, I think it's 15<sup>th</sup> order respecting cash collateral, the debtor was required to give weekly flash reports to Sovereign. The flash report that we received last week indicated that the debtor had received \$38,500.00 and when I questioned the debtor's principal at the Rule 2004 examination about that \$38,500.00 he essentially said that it was a loan. When I questioned him further the loan apparently came from one of the debtor's tenant's principals and Mr. Love said to me during his deposition that that was a friend of his and the loan he said was not a loan

1 to the debtor but instead it was a loan to Mr. Love and Mr.

2 Love then made a loan to the debtor for \$38,500.00. Your

3 | Honor, I've asked for the loan documents that reflect that

4 loan. I haven't received them but I could certainly remind

5 | the court about Section 363 and 364 and the debtor's required

6 when it uses property, when it borrows funds it needs court

7 approval to do so and the debtor did not come before this

8 | court seeking approval for \$38,000.00 loan.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, Your Honor, those are examples of gross mismanagement and I submit to the court that they cannot be rectified, many of them, certain of them perhaps could be but others can't be. When I questioned Mr. Love, and I'm representing this to the court, many of the questions I asked him he conveniently could not answer my questions and instead his answers were I don't recall, I have to check with the accountants, and that was -- he answered my questions time and time again with that type of answer yet when I asked him other questions that he certainly thought would help him he knew the answer and he knew the dates and times and things like that. So I would submit that he was not -- he's not credible but I'm not asking the court to have an evidentiary hearing to that effect because I think that, Your Honor, the gross mismanagement of the debtor and the incredibility of Mr. Love specifically in the monthly operating reports and his declaration he filed with the court in connection with use of

cash collateral in and of itself constitutes cause via gross mismanagement under 1112(b).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, that's not the end of the story with respect to cause though. Section 1112(b)(4) identifies other examples of cause and another example of cause is where the debtor fails to get court approval or consent for its use of cash collateral and that use of cash collateral that's unauthorized is substantially harmful to one or more creditors. I certainly would submit to the court that that applies here as well.

Now, the court might ask me well, at the last hearing the court allowed the debtor's use of cash collateral and said well, on a retroactive basis the court is going to require the debtor to make adequate protection payments to Sovereign. And the court came up with the number of \$19,000.00 Your Honor might recall. Well, Your Honor, the debtor filed on January  $11^{\rm th}$ . The court said that the debtor would need to pay Sovereign \$19,000.00 for the month of February and May -- and March by the end of May and would have to pay Sovereign April during April which the debtor did. The debtor did make that one payment and also have to pay May in May. So we were now -- I forget today's date, May 19<sup>th</sup> or 20<sup>th</sup>, and we have not received anything other than \$19,000.00 payment. I would also submit to the court that the debtor used our cash collateral improperly without court authority or

consent during January. We've never identified that. So I would submit to the court that even if \$19,000.00 were the correct figure, and I'll -- for purposes of discussion I will say to the court that let's say that's correct, \$19,000.00 is the correct figure, \$19,000.00 times five, Your Honor, because we're now in May is \$95,000.00. Sovereign has received thus far to date \$19,000.00. So I would submit to the court that the debtor has used cash collateral paying Sovereign \$19,000.00. That's certainly in my view, Your Honor, is harmful to Sovereign and certainly the debtor did not observe its obligations under the code in using cash collateral without a court order, without Sovereign's consent.

In addition, Your Honor, another example of cause is that there is substantial continuing losses to or diminution of the estate in the absence of a reasonable likelihood of rehabilitation. Now, Your Honor, I really -- although I'm bringing this issue up I could see Your Honor saying well, don't we have to have an evidentiary hearing --

THE COURT: Are the losses reflected on the operating reports? Is that what you're telling me?

MR. SCHWARTZ: They are, Your Honor, but the operating reports are very confusing and I'll tell the court why. The operating reports do show a loss. There's no question the operating reports show a loss since the case was filed but I would submit that the operating reports are very

```
misleading because I think that the losses are much larger
1
 2
    than what is reflected in the operating reports.
 3
              THE COURT: That you're going to have to get into an
    evidentiary hearing for that I should think.
 4
              MR. SCHWARTZ: I would tend to agree with Your Honor
 5
 6
    on that subject but the operating reports as filed show a
 7
    loss, show a continuing loss and show a diminution of estate
 8
    value.
9
              THE COURT: How much of a loss?
10
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Our papers -- in our papers, Your
11
    Honor, we say that it's $7,500.00.
12
              THE COURT: Per month or altogether?
13
              MR. SCHWARTZ: An operating loss of $85,875.00.
14
              THE COURT: Since the beginning of the case?
15
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Since the petition date and a negative
16
    cash flow of $7,800.00. That's what the operating reports
17
    show.
18
              THE COURT: Okay.
19
              MR. SCHWARTZ: So in and of itself the operating
    reports on their face I think prove my case for cause without
20
21
    even getting into the issue --
22
              THE COURT: This is a loss that occurred every month?
23
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, the $85,000.00 is cumulative
```

25 THE COURT: Cumulative but was there also a loss

24

total.

every month?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes.

Your Honor, the debtor's monthly operating reports do not reflect the debtor's obligation to pay Sovereign adequate protection, do not reflect the debtor's obligation to pay administrative costs associated with professional fees and things like that. So that operating loss I'm referring to the \$85,000.00 does not even take into account the \$95,000.00 that I just identified as well as whatever administrative costs that are associated with professional fees in connection with this case.

So, Your Honor, without even getting to an evidentiary hearing or the concept of an evidentiary hearing I submit that the operating reports show that cause exists to convert the debtor's case.

Finally, Your Honor, we've identified the last indicia of cause and that's under 1112(b)(4), the debtor's failure — there's actually two. The debtor's failure to comply with an order of the court. The court entered an order on April 15<sup>th</sup> requiring the debtor to, among other things, serve Sovereign with an accounting by April 20<sup>th</sup>. The debtor, if the court might recall, provided a very incomplete accounting which only identified \$25,000.00 or so of payments

notwithstanding the fact that the court had directed the
debtor to file a complete accounting. So the debtor didn't
comply with the court order plus, Your Honor, the debtor
failed to comply with its obligation to provide information to
the U.S. Trustee and that resulted in the U.S. Trustee's

filing of its own motion to convert this case.

So, Your Honor, those are six or so different examples of cause that are enumerated under Section 1112(b)(4). I would submit that a couple of those are certainly stronger than others. Certainly the gross mismanagement, the unauthorized use of cash collateral, the continuing loss, those I think, Your Honor, are clear on its face and I don't think that the debtor has any response to that and I don't think that there's any unusual circumstances here which would allow the court to institute its discretion in allowing the debtor to continue operate as a debtor-in-possession.

THE COURT: Do you want to address the reasonable likelihood of a plan being confirmed?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You've seen the debtor's plan I assume.

MR. SCHWARTZ: I did, Your Honor. The debtor filed a plan last week and essentially on its face it looks to me like this was a form plan that the debtor took from some other case because the plan on its face doesn't provide for any treatment

at all to any creditors. It's just a form plan with 1 2 definitions and different sections. 3 THE COURT: Well, it provides -- your client as unsecured -- as unimpaired --4 MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, that's the disclosure statement. 5 THE COURT: -- which I found to be incomprehensible 6 7 unless they have the funds to cure the arrears and reinstate 8 the loan. MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes, Your Honor. What I was going to 9 10 say is that the plan in and of itself doesn't even talk about 11 the treatment of Sovereign. You have to look to the 12 disclosure statement for that. So the plan would obviously 13 have to be --14 THE COURT: You're lumped in with other secured 15 creditors who are all going to be treated as unimpaired. 16 MR. SCHWARTZ: Correct, Your Honor. Essentially --17 THE COURT: So what are the arrears on the Sovereign 18 loan? 19 MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, the debtor hasn't made any 20 normal monthly payments to Sovereign since -- I believe the 21 date was April of 2008. 22 THE COURT: Well, there's an argument about that but 23 what's -- according to Sovereign what are the arrears? 24 MR. SCHWARTZ: I'm just going from memory, Your 25 Honor, because I don't know the total amount but I know that

the payments that were required under the loan documents were approximately \$2,700.00 per month.

THE COURT: 27?

MR. SCHWARTZ: \$27,000.00 per month.

THE COURT: Thousand per month.

MR. SCHWARTZ: \$27,000.00 per month. Since I believe it's April of 2008 the debtor has not made its normal monthly \$27,000.00 payment to Sovereign. The debtor is also behind in payment of real estate taxes which would have been paid to Sovereign as part of that \$27,000.00 pursuant to an escrow and so if Your Honor does the math \$27,000.00 times let's say about almost two years I guess that is what the arrearage would be --

THE COURT: That's about -- that's a little under \$700,000.00.

MR. SCHWARTZ: If that's what the figure is that's what it is, Your Honor. Your Honor might recall that we attached to our papers that Sovereign as a result of the debtor's defaults had accelerated and ultimately filed a lawsuit pre-petition and so Sovereign asserts that it is owed in excess of \$3.5 million. When including the fees and costs and things like that it's probably closer to \$4 million. So, again, I'm not sure exactly what the arrearage number is but assuming that Your Honor's math is correct and it's close to \$700,000.00 that would be the figure.

So, Your Honor, obviously the debtor's statement and its disclosure statement to Sovereign is not impaired as Your Honor pointed out is ludicrous and so that would be a subject of litigation in connection with the loan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, essentially when you look at the debtor's plan the debtor again as Your Honor pointed out lumped together various secured creditors. There's Mr. Berman. There's Northfield and there's an entity called Albarra [Ph.] as well as Sovereign. So you have four alleged secured creditors and what the debtor does is it proposes to pay Mr. Berman and Northfield exactly the monthly payment that it was paying to them on an ongoing basis pre-petition and on an ongoing basis post-petition because the debtor apparently has never defaulted under either of those loans and the debtor continues to just make those payments and neither Mr. Berman nor Northfield never objected or raised an issue because they've never had a payment default. So they would be I guess unimpaired.

Then you have Albarra who the debtor says is owed somewhere in excess of \$1 million. The property, the debtor contends, is worth like 350. So the debtor proposes to give up Araback [Ph.] its property and then walk away and the deficiency claim would essentially be waived. I'm not sure what Araback's position is on that one but I would assume that unless Araback is a friend of Mr. Love which according to his

deposition or his Rule 2004 examination testimony he's got a lot of friends who seem to work with him and I assume it's because there's a lot of cash going back and forth here but that's speculation on my part, Your Honor.

Then you have unsecured creditors and there's barely any and that is in particular set forth in the debtor -- in an attachment to the debtor's plan. There's only a few. There's only like four or five unsecured creditors. So at the end of the day, Your Honor, and those unsecured creditors total \$125,000.00. One of them is \$100,000.00 owed to a gentleman -- the last name, Your Honor, according to the list that's attached to the debtor's plan, his -- Mr. Salamon [Ph.], Richard Salamon, and Mr. Love testified during his 2004 examination that Mr. Salamon is a good friend of Mr. Love and apparently made a loan.

So you have five or so unsecured creditors. You have four secured creditors and it looks like everybody is working with the debtor and everybody is happy except for Sovereign. So when you take a step back and you look at what happened in connection with this case, Your Honor, it's very apparent that the debtor filed its Chapter 11 petition as a result of the two party dispute with Sovereign because it was unhappy with the state court's judgment and there's a plethora of case law, Your Honor, which talks about a bad faith filing, which talks about when there's a two party dispute. That's

not proper bankruptcy -- that's not a proper reason to file bankruptcy, and I submit to Your Honor that that also constitutes cause of the conversion of this case to a Chapter 7.

So, Your Honor, Your Honor asked me the question about the debtor's plan. I submit that the plan would be heavily contested. I submit that the plan cannot be confirmed over Sovereign's consent and more importantly --

THE COURT: Well, you can't be concerned as drafted. It can't be confirmed because the treatment of Sovereign -- the idea that they're going to be able to cure and reinstate your loan is not -- is ludicrous. They can't even pay the adequate protection payments apparently.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Exactly, Your Honor. So I submit the plan is unconfirmable on its face as a matter of law. So again, Your Honor, the debtor cannot meet its burden even if we were ever to get to the issue of whether the debt -- whether unusual circumstances are present. The debtor can never even get to its burden under 1112(b)(2).

In addition, Your Honor, the debtor attaches to its plan projections. What's interesting with respect to those projections, Your Honor, is that -- and this is -- I don't know if Your Honor got a chance to take a look at those projections but those projections on its face, Your Honor, are totally inconsistent with the debtor's monthly operating

1 reports. I don't know if Your Honor has that -- I'm sorry. I
2 don't know if Your Honor has those projections in front of
3 you.

THE COURT: They're attached to the disclosure statements?

MR. SCHWARTZ: They're attached to the -- yes, the disclosure statements and it's actually the second to last page.

THE COURT: Second to last page of the named documents or of the --

MR. SCHWARTZ: You have the disclosure statement and you have attachments and it's the second to last page of the attachments to the disclosure statement.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, first of all, the debtor has a protection and anticipates that it will have a steady rental income stream of \$81,000.00 a month starting in May of 2010. Your Honor might recall that Mr. Love filed a declaration with this court on April 20<sup>th</sup> in response to Sovereign's motion to use of cash collateral and in that declaration or attached to that declaration Mr. Love set forth what the debtor's anticipated rent would be for the months of February, March and April of 2010 and then set forth what the actual rent roll was for February and for March of 2010. The total rents for those months is approximately \$60,000.00 each

month. So I'm not sure where the debtor is getting \$81,000.00 when the declaration that was just filed with this court less than a month ago says for the first few months of the case the debtor was receiving a total of \$60,000.00 in rental revenue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then you go down to the line items below, expenses. The debtor has obviously in its projections underestimated its expenses because if Your Honor looks down at the column under rental expenses that says outside services. I'm not sure exactly what that means but the -- it's a steady number of \$6,800.00 per month. I assume what that is is debtor's principal salary, Maria Love's salary, things like that because it's nowhere else in this -- in the expense items. But if Your Honor would look back at the monthly operating reports for February and March the debtor also has line items for outside services under the expense column and the debtor's average for outside service expenses are \$16,000.00 per month. So how do we get from \$16,000.00 over the last couple of months to \$6,800.00 on a projected going forward basis. numbers just appear very inconsistent.

Going down even further under rental expenses, Your Honor, the debtor has in its projections attached to its disclosure statement a line item called repairs and maintenance and the debtor anticipates that it will have \$500.00 per month of rent -- of repairs and maintenance expenses. Well, Your Honor, in the month of February of this

year on a post-petition basis the debtor filed a monthly operating report which showed that it had repairs and maintenance costs of \$11,000.00 per month. So obviously the numbers appear to be extremely inconsistent with one another.

These are just examples, Your Honor, and I haven't probed these expenses at all. Mr. Love started his Rule 2004 examination. We never even got to this because he came late in the day. The debtor's counsel wasn't available until later in the day so we adjourned into a further date. But on its face, Your Honor, these projections appear to be unreasonable and they're not something that the court at a -- that the debtor would not be able to confirm a plan based upon these projections.

What these projections also show, Your Honor, is that during the first two months of the case the debtor obviously increased its expenses so that it would have a reason why it didn't have to pay Sovereign anything.

Obviously it was making all these improper payments for postpetition -- for pre-petition expenses and payments to Mr. Love and Mr. Love's credit cards, et cetera, et cetera.

So, Your Honor, with all that having been said, I submit to the court that there is a substantial basis, there's a very substantial basis for converting this case to a Chapter 7 because cause without question I submit exists. Your Honor, the case law is clear that where there are numerous improper

transfers by a debtor that the court should look very suspiciously at those transfers because if the court doesn't convert the case then those transfers might never be investigated and returned to the estate. And I submit to the court that I haven't even brought up Mr. Love's testimony concerning pre-petition payments made by the debtor because I'm focusing on post-petition but I submit to the court that there is numerous, numerous pre-petition improper transfers. In fact, one of the debtor's bank account statements, and the debtor had more than one bank account, showed that the debtor spent I believe it was in excess of \$140,000.00 in less than a year and that money disappeared and we don't even know where that went. There was numerous payments to Mr. Love, et cetera, and so, Your Honor I would -- and all the while Sovereign was receiving nothing, Your Honor.

So I would submit to Your Honor that to not convert this case would allow the debtor to possibly move forward and those improper transfers to never be investigated which I submit to Your Honor is improper. Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. MAROTTA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Counsel really brings up except with this -- except with respect to discussion of the plan issues in support of his burden to show cause that had already been raised at the last appearance and that Your Honor addressed and that the debtor has corrected. Since --

THE COURT: Wait. You corrected all of the problems identified in the motion, every single one?

MR. MAROTTA: Yes, Your Honor.

And if I could also bring the court up to date on all of the efforts that the debtor has made. I outlined some of them in our motion papers but yes, the Adamo lease has been rejected. The Adamo lease --

THE COURT: Are you going to get back the money you paid post-petition from them? Wasn't that gross mismanagement to pay them post-petition rent on a lease that was -- that you were -- for property that wasn't being used and that you were going to reject?

MR. MAROTTA: Well, no, Your Honor. The reason that the lease existed in the first place was that it's adjacent to one of the debtor's properties. It was being renovated and it was renovated and the bankruptcy interceded. So the debtor has decided that it can't continue to pay that lease and continue to develop that property.

THE COURT: Why did they pay -- how many months, three months of post-petition rent on this property?

MR. MAROTTA: I don't know if it was three or if it was two but --

THE COURT: Why did they pay post-petition rent on this property if it was a property that they didn't need to use? Isn't that gross mismanagement?

MR. MAROTTA: Well, they had an option to purchase the property. They could have developed the property and then sold it as an asset of the estate but it was not feasible to do so but it wasn't gross mismanagement.

THE COURT: Well, particularly since the bankruptcy code provides that rent under leases has to be paid during -- currently within the first sixty days. So you would essentially have sixty days to make that determination but you didn't. You paid the rent and now you've decided to reject the lease and so that's money that could have gone to pay other obligations and is out the door. Why is that not gross mismanagement?

MR. MAROTTA: Well, the debtor did it while it could to meet with the creditor before terminating the lease also and we did have a sit down meeting with that creditor and his attorney in an attempt to try to minimize the damages that that creditor would seek against the DIP. I'm not sure how successful we were but we did have a meaningful conversation with counsel and --

THE COURT: But you're not answering my question.

MR. MAROTTA: But that's why the debtor continued to make a couple of lease payments after -- post-petition because that meeting had not taken place. The decision that -- for example, we requested that the -- at that meeting we requested the creditor waive rent for a period of time. In that scenario

we would have presented to the court a new proposed lease with that creditor where we did have the opportunity to develop — to finish the build out of the property and perhaps sell it off as an asset but he absolutely refused to entertain that notion and we had reason to believe that he might.

THE COURT: Particularly since he's been getting rent. So why would he agree not to take rent?

MR. MAROTTA: Well, he has also been paid for quite some time on that property and improvements have been made. We thought that he would deal with us in good faith and we did have like I said a meaningful meeting but it did not come to fruition.

THE COURT: Let's go back to the statute. You would have to show -- assuming that I -- that it is -- that I conclude that there has been gross mismanagement in paying the principals and American Express bill and making payments to cash to the tune of \$16,000.00 during the course of the case to the various other payments that have been made to insiders during the course of this case. Assuming that I determine that that is gross mismanagement then in order to prevent the case from being converted because it's mandatory, the conversion is mandatory at that point. You'd have to show that number one, circumstances, unusual circumstances that you have to specifically identify that shows that the relief is not in the best interest of creditors and the estate and you

would have to also show that the act or omission is one for 1 2 which there exists a reasonable justification and that will be 3 cured. Now, you're arguing about the -- your argument I guess is that some of the -- or maybe it would be best to 4 characterize this a most important of these payments that were 5 6 improperly made have been returned. So that that is a --7 you're arguing that that has been cured but you can't tell me 8 that there's a reasonable justification for the principal to 9 have paid his own American Express bill with estate money 10 post-petition. There's no reasonable justification for that. 11 MR. MAROTTA: All of those -- we list all of his 12 expenses that were paid by the DIP in those several weeks 13 following the filing. 14 THE COURT: It went on for longer than several weeks 15 but yes. 16 MR. MAROTTA: Well, there weren't any in the month of 17 April and there haven't been any in the month of May. So --18 THE COURT: But there was no -- but we have to go by 19 what the statute says. 20 MR. MAROTTA: I understand, Your Honor. So the 21 reasonable justification was that the debtor believed that 22 paying salary to Mr. Love in accordance with what they had 23 been doing in the past --24 THE COURT: Paying his own American Express bill?

MR. MAROTTA: It's part of his salary. He took it as

25

- 1 a payment of salary.
- THE COURT: He was paying his own American Express
- 3 bill. The debtor is Mr. Love. He's a hundred percent owner
- 4 of the company; right?
- 5 MR. MAROTTA: Yes.
- 6 THE COURT: So paying his -- he believed that paying
- 7 his own American Express bill was in the best interests -- you
- 8 think that was somehow justifiable?
- 9 MR. MAROTTA: Well, he believed it to be reasonable
- 10 at the time and he corrected it and there has not been any
- 11 payments to him even before this -- the last court appearance
- 12 here and since the issue has been raised he has paid back into
- 13 | the -- the DIP has reclaimed from its principals over
- 14 \$38,000.00.
- 15 THE COURT: And that I guess goes to this -- the
- 16 thing that was testified about in the 2004 exam. Mr. Love
- 17 took a loan or maybe it was not a loan from a friend and did
- 18 he make a loan to the debtor.
- 19 MR. MAROTTA: It's not a loan to the debtor and he
- 20 testified to that at the examination. So I'm not sure why
- 21 | counsel would stand here and say otherwise.
- 22 THE COURT: He says it's a loan to himself?
- MR. MAROTTA: It's a loan that he borrowed from a
- 24 friend.
- 25 THE COURT: So did he -- how did the loan get to the

1 debtor? How did the money get to the debtor then? Is this a 2 donation?

MR. MAROTTA: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MAROTTA: He has not expectation of repaying it which he testified to. He is not taking a administrative super priority claim or anything to that effect or seeking same.

THE COURT: The next question I would have is this plan is unconfirmable on its face it seems to me.

MR. MAROTTA: Mr. Gabor will have to address that issue. I can address all the issues regarding cause but if you'd like to hear Mr. Gabor he'll talk about the plan but I would like to address some of the things that were raise during Mr. Schwartz's motion.

THE COURT: Such as what?

MR. MAROTTA: Well, the -- for example, that there's a continuing loss to the estate. The debtor has made the April payment that was directed by Your Honor.

THE COURT: There was \$85,000.00 operating loss cumulatively since the beginning of this case not including the payments that were required to be made to Sovereign Bank and have not been made. If you add that in that's \$95,000.000. That's an aggregate loss of \$180,000.00.

MR. GABOR: Your Honor, may I address those points?

THE COURT: Yes. And there's been a loss every 1 2 single month. So how is that not a continuing and substantial 3 loss to the estate? MR. GABOR: Your Honor, we agree that the cash loss 4 is approximately \$7,800.00. 5 THE COURT: Well, that's an operating loss; right? 6 7 MR. GABOR: Correct. Okay. So the loss -- and 8 that's for the period of time --9 THE COURT: Correct. 10 MR. GABOR: -- that the debtor has been in Chapter 11 The eight something thousand dollar loss includes 11. depreciation expense which is a non cash item. This is a real 12 13 estate entity. Depreciation is going to be there. It's going 14 to be the biggest expense and it's not reflected --15 THE COURT: How much of that is depreciation? MR. GABOR: \$80,000.00. 16 17 THE COURT: Eight of the 85 is depreciation? 18 MR. GABOR: Well, if it's \$85,000.00 loss and the 19 cash loss is \$7,800.00 the difference is all depreciation. 20 THE COURT: But then you have to add in the 21 \$95,000.00 that you haven't paid to Sovereign. 22 MR. GABOR: I'd like to address that, Your Honor, 23 starting with January. In the January operating report there 24 was a loss of approximately \$44,000.00. The rents had already 25 been collected pre-petition for January. So that January is

not properly reflected because you have expenses but you have no income. So if you start with the \$7,800.00 loss I submit that to that we should add the \$44,000.00 in expenses because if you had the income in there, if you had a proper accrual and a matching of income and expenses then you would not have a \$44,000.00 loss for January. So I submit that that should be added to the number.

The loss also reflects payments of rent of \$18,000.00 to Adamo. Those payments have ceased.

THE COURT: But they're gone. They're not recoverable?

MR. GABOR: Right. But if we're talking about the loss as indicative of whether a plan could be proposed -- forget about the Sovereign issue on the plan right now, but to show that there is a cash flow that can fund a plan.

THE COURT: The cash flow is one thing but you also have to have -- make an operating profit --

MR. GABOR: Right. And I'm --

THE COURT: -- on a non cash basis as well.

MR. GABOR: And what I'm trying to do is recast the operations for the time period that we've been in bankruptcy so that the court or a reader of the disclosure statement can say you know what, while there was a loss I understand that if we recast it there is an operating profit, there is a cash flow profit and that can fund the plan.

```
THE COURT: But I don't think there is.
1
 2
              MR. GABOR: That's why I'd like to --
 3
              THE COURT: I think there's not.
              MR. GABOR: That's why I'd like to go through this
 4
 5
    exercise if Your Honor says okay.
 6
              So we have the $18,000.00 payments to Adamo which
7
   has been deducted. It's included in the $7,800.00 loss but
 8
    that doesn't exist any more. So on a going forward basis, on
    a looking forward basis there's that much more profit there.
9
    So I submit that that should be added to the loss.
10
11
              The debtor also made an adequate protection payment
    in April of $19,000.00.
12
13
              THE COURT: But that's not reflected on the operating
14
    statement.
15
              MR. GABOR: That was reflected in the April operating
16
    statement.
17
              THE COURT: Is that correct?
18
              MR. GABOR: In May 2000. Are you asking me, Your
19
    Honor?
20
              THE COURT: I'm asking the Sovereign lawyer.
21
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes, Your Honor. It's reflected in
22
    the April report that was filed I guess last week, yes.
23
              THE COURT: But the amount that is owed and has to be
24
   paid by the end of May isn't reflected?
25
              MR. GABOR: That's correct and I'll address that
```

1 also.

So if you start with the \$7,800.00, add that to \$44,000.00 from January, add that to \$18,000.00, add that to \$19,000.00 in the payment from April that equals a profit of \$73,000.00.

THE COURT: And if you add back a lot of other things then that would increase the profit even more --

MR. GABOR: Please, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- and if my grandmother had wheels she'd be a tea cart.

MR. GABOR: With the \$73,000.00 then if you take the \$19,000.00 of adequate protection payments and there's three-and-a-half months worth -- I'm sorry, three months at \$57,000.00 so you have \$73,000.00 minus the \$57,000.00 leaves a profit of \$16,000.00 for the three-and-a-half month period and that's indicative of going forward.

I'd like to take it one step further. Sovereign complains about payments made to -- to or on behalf of Mr. Love. He states on one hand these are improper payments and he states on the other hand that there's a loss. To truly gauge what the debtor can do at this point going forward we have to segregate those payments and say that well, if they were improper let's not look at them from a cash flow basis going forward and what the debtor tried to do was to show what the principal did, Mr. Love, in order to show what the debtor

can do he paid back voluntarily \$39,000.00 to cover those expenses. So by adding back the \$39,000.00 it's almost as if those expenses were not there.

So if we take the \$16,000.00, add that to \$39,000.00 we have a net profit on a cash flow basis indicative of what the debtor can do going forward of \$55,000.00 for the three-and-a-half month period and that's after paying Northfield, paying Sovereign \$19,000.00 a month on adequate protection and paying all the other expenses. So I submit as a recast profit and loss statement --

THE COURT: This is not something that I am going to be able to agree to at this point. You're going to -- we would have to have a hearing for me in order to be able to consider and accept the assumptions that you want me to make about what your operation is going to be going forward.

But tell me about how you would -- what -- why this plan isn't just a form that is -- has no chance of confirmation. Your treatment of -- you say you are going to treat Sovereign as unimpaired. Your description of how they're going to be treated in the disclosure statement is not a treatment that would constitute being unimpaired. You say you're going to pay their principle and interest going forward.

MR. GABOR: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's not unimpaired. That's not

```
treating a creditor as unimpaired. To treat a creditor as
1
 2
    unimpaired you'd have to complete -- you have to reinstate the
 3
    loan and pay it according to its contractual terms. You'd
   have to pay all the arrears and at confirmation at one time.
 4
              MR. GABOR: Your Honor, I had conversations with
 5
 6
    counsel for Sovereign and we spoke about a plan and what
 7
    Sovereign may or may not agree to. This is -- what was
 8
    proposed except for the period of time was what counsel and I
   had discussed.
 9
10
              THE COURT: But this is phoney. This isn't
11
    unimpaired. That's not unimpaired.
12
              MR. GABOR: Your Honor, I didn't expect the plan to
13
    go through on the first time.
14
              THE COURT: Well, you don't file a plan that says
15
    that somebody is unimpaired when they patently are not.
              MR. GABOR: Your Honor, I would --
16
17
              THE COURT: Based on that plan I can't -- I couldn't
18
    assume -- that's not a plan that would -- that could be
19
    confirmed.
20
              MR. GABOR: Your Honor --
21
              THE COURT: I'd give that plan no weight whatsoever.
22
              MR. GABOR: I would have to amend the provisions and
23
    come up with a treatment for Sovereign.
24
              THE COURT: Well, but why -- why would I give that
```

plan any weight? Why wouldn't I just dismiss this case right

25

now or convert this case right now because there's clearly
been gross -- there's clearly been mismanagement. There's no
reasonable justification and there's -- well, whether there's
reasonable justification or not and whether or not there is -whether or not you have cured some or all of these supposed -these items there's no -- there's no plan that's been proposed
that has a hope of confirmation because this plan is

MR. GABOR: Your Honor, we -- our plan proposes that Sovereign will get everything that's due them.

THE COURT: No. Your plan proposes that they will be unimpaired and they're not unimpaired the way you're treating them under the plan.

MR. GABOR: Well, then --

unconfirmable on its face.

THE COURT: If a treatment that you're proposing for them is characterized as unimpaired so that you won't have to obtain and vote but they're not unimpaired because you're not curing and reinstating the loan.

MR. GABOR: So while they may be unimpaired they are still getting everything that would be due them --

THE COURT: But you can't confirm this plan without their consent.

MR. GABOR: And ultimately I'm hoping to get their consent. I did have conversations with --

THE COURT: But they're here trying to convert this

1 case. Obviously you don't have their consent.

MR. GABOR: Well, at the time --

THE COURT: We can ask counsel whether he consents, whether his client consents.

MR. SCHWARTZ: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So why wouldn't -- why isn't that a basis for me to convert this case today?

MR. GABOR: Well, I would like the opportunity to amend the plan and put in something that we can try to get confirmed.

THE COURT: Well, then why would I give you that opportunity? This was that opportunity. This is the hearing on the motion.

MR. GABOR: Well, because it's not the hearing on the plan.

THE COURT: But the plan -- you have to have -- in order for me to conclude that I don't have to -- that I have discretion to not convert this case you would have to show that there's a reasonable likelihood that a plan will be confirmed within the time frames established in Section 1121(e) and 1129(e) of this title or with -- or if these sections do not apply within a reasonable period of time.

MR. GABOR: Your Honor, the debtor has done everything that has been asked of him and he's cleaned up every complaint that's been made. He's complied with all of

- 1 | the requests of the U.S. Trustee. Anything that's deemed to
- 2 be improper he's cleaned up. He's repaid voluntarily. He's
- 3 done everything so that he can take advantage of the second
- 4 chance that bankruptcy enables a person to take advantage of.
- 5 He would like to go forward --
- 6 THE COURT: I'm concerned that this debtor still
- 7 | thinks that he's entitled to treat this entity as his personal
- 8 ATM.
- 9 MR. GABOR: Your Honor, that's just not the case.
- 10 Whatever it may have appeared to happen at the beginning since
- 11 the last court date he's not done anything of the sort.
- 12 THE COURT: Who's going to be given the right to
- 13 | investigate and prosecute the potential avoidance action
- 14 against -- that might arise or who's going to look into the
- 15 pre-petition transfers to the debtor's insiders and determine
- 16 whether any or all of them were avoidable?
- 17 MR. GABOR: Well, Sovereign has been looking into it.
- 18 They started with the 2004 examination, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Well, in the absence -- but the debtor --
- 20 there's no creditors' committee here and the debtor-in-
- 21 possession is not going to do it.
- 22 MR. GABOR: Your Honor, we'll look into it. I've not
- 23 seen the transcript of the 2004. I was not there.
- 24 THE COURT: You're obviously not going to look into
- 25 | it. We're talking about looking into causes of action against

1 your own client.

2 MR. GABOR: Well, no. The debtor is our client, Your 3 Honor, not the principal.

THE COURT: Right. But you take your instructions from Mr. Love.

MR. MAROTTA: For example, Your Honor, we are looking into it. Counsel at the 2004 examination was asking about -- he mentioned some \$140,000.00 in payments that had been made. This was a separate -- it's a separate account that had been set up by the debtor almost a couple of years ago that came from the proceeds of the Northfield loan. All of these proceeds were used for the reconstruction of a building at 2531 Victory Boulevard. There would be documentation to support this work. There would be work permits that are matters of public record. There would be the actual work that was done. There would be receipts.

In the event that there is somewhere in that accounting some improper transfers that's an issue that the debtor can look at and has shown the ability to look at a transfer of that in its normal course of business it would not have looked at but now that it's in bankruptcy has -- the DIP has taken the -- made the effort to look at these payments to Mr. Love, for example, and to find that Mr. Love should pay the --

THE COURT: That's because he was told to do so and

- 1 | not because -- not because he in his, you know, because he
- 2 | undertook in the exercise of his fiduciary duty to do that.
- 3 He was under pressure by the U.S. Trustee and the creditor.
- 4 He wasn't doing that on his own.
- 5 MR. MAROTTA: But he has cured it within a reasonable
- 6 | time and \$10,000.00 a month salary would not be an
- 7 | extraordinary salary for a person who's a community business
- 8 leader like this person is and who has -- is managing \$9
- 9 million worth of real estate.
- 10 THE COURT: Is he receiving any salary at the moment?
- MR. MAROTTA: No. He hasn't because there hasn't
- 12 been money to take it and it only would be coming from the
- 13 | Northfield account as Your Honor directed and so he hasn't.
- 14 He's agreed to waive his April salary and he's agreed to waive
- 15 his May salary.
- 16 THE COURT: He has no authority to pay himself
- 17 | anything at the present time. You understand that?
- 18 MR. MAROTTA: Well, Your Honor had that he can only
- 19 pay himself whatever was in the budget out of the Northfield
- 20 | funds I believe but then again he hasn't made any payments to
- 21 | himself in the entire month of April or May. So if Your Honor
- 22 directs that no further payments then -- so be it.
- 23 THE COURT: Let me hear from counsel for Sovereign.
- MR. MAROTTA: I didn't really get a chance to address
- 25 | any of the points that they had raised though and I would like

1 the chance to do so.

THE COURT: Well, you told me that your client has cured everything. So that is -- doesn't that address the point?

MR. MAROTTA: Certainly this is in our motion papers but as Your Honor knows they did set up a segregated account, accounts for Northfield and Sovereign as Your Honor directed. They did establish a budget. Despite what counsel says they did provide an accounting of the January expenses building by building as Your Honor directed.

In our motion papers we attached -- in our objections rather we attached a full ledger sheet of all disbursements from January 11<sup>th</sup> forward with a description of each item as Exhibit A.

THE COURT: Mr. Marotta, I don't think I need to hear any more but thank you.

MR. MAROTTA: Okay.

THE COURT: I'll hear from the Sovereign attorney briefly.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, I'll just address a couple of things that counsel for the debtor said and then I'll give a quick sort of closing statement.

Number one, we were talking about whether or not the debtor has suffered substantial and continuing losses or diminishment of value to the estate and counsel talked about

most of this was depreciation. Well, I just looked at the April monthly operating report. Total depreciation is listed as \$63,848.00. So it's not the whole thing at \$63,848.00.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Counsel talked about he did some math and he was hard to follow and as George Bush once said fuzzy math, and I think that's what he was doing. He talked about there were expenses in January which he wanted to back out because there was no rents in January. Well, Your Honor, what's interesting is that the debtor in its projections talks about \$81,000.00 a The debtor in its declaration filed with this court month. talked about \$60,000.00 a month. One would think that the debtor received somewhere between \$60,000.00 and \$81,000.00 in January for rents yet on the day that the debtor filed his bankruptcy petition the debtor filed schedules and those schedules show that the debtor had in his account \$450.00. So where did \$80,000.00 or \$60,000.00 disappear to when all the expenses were paid on a post-petition basis. Very interesting question, Your Honor. That was Sovereign's cash collateral, a portion of that. Disappeared without a trace.

The \$38,500.00 loan contribution, whatever you want to call it that Mr. Love made to the debtor in April that counsel would like to back out now well, that's already accounted for in the April monthly operating report. So the debtor wants to back it out and say that you have to now say that \$38,000.00 should come out which would give the debtor

more of an operating profit. Well, it's already accounted for in April and so if you back it out again you've now backed it out twice, Your Honor.

Again, Your Honor, I'll point Your Honor to the statute and I know Your Honor understands that.

THE COURT: Okay. I think the way I'm coming out on this is that we need to have an evidentiary hearing because I think -- I would like to have a better record than this to decide this case. All right.

So I can give you some time on June 1<sup>st</sup>. I can give you most of the day on June 1<sup>st</sup>. Are we be okay with that? Starting at 10:00 in the morning. And I'll direct you to file a joint pretrial order by close of business a week from tomorrow. June 1<sup>st</sup> is a Tuesday.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, unfortunately June  $1^{\rm st}$  doesn't work for us. Does Your Honor have any other time that week?

THE COURT: No, I don't have any other time that week.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Is there any time before that week?

THE COURT: I think we have a trial all week.

[Pause in proceedings.]

THE COURT: I could give you some time on the 28<sup>th</sup> but it would not be a full day. So I don't know whether there is any point in doing that.

MR. GABOR: And I'm not available that day, Your 1 2 Honor. 3 [Pause in proceedings.] THE COURT: I could give you June 11th which is a 4 Friday all day. I could give you June 18th. 5 MR. SCHWARTZ: June 11th works for us, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: What's that? MR. SCHWARTZ: June 11th works for us. 8 MR. GABOR: June 18<sup>th</sup> would be better for us, Your 9 10 Honor. 11 MR. SCHWARTZ: I don't know about working better but I know the statute does talk about time frames for a motion to 12 13 convert and requires that a motion be heard and decided within 14 certain time frames. 15 THE COURT: What is the time frame that's required? MR. SCHWARTZ: The statute, Your Honor, 1112(b)(3) 16 17 says that the court shall commence the hearing on a motion 18 under subsection not later than thirty days after the filing of the motion, shall decide the motion not later than fifteen 19 days after commencement of such hearing. 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. So we -- so it has to be decided 22 not less than fifteen days after today's date? When was --23 MR. SCHWARTZ: That's what the statute says, Your 24 Honor. Obviously if we consent then that time frame can 25 change and we certainly -- I'll try to be reasonable here so I

```
just don't want it to go out until the end of June because --
1
              THE COURT: Okay. Well, what's the time frame that
 2
 3
   you think that it needs to be decided? When -- if you say it
   has to be -- the hearing has to be commenced within thirty
 4
    days after it's filed. So it was filed -- your motion was
 5
    filed on the 28th of April.
 6
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, we commenced it today.
 8
              THE COURT: And we commenced it today. And you feel
    that it has to be decided within fifteen days of the day that
9
10
    it's commenced. If that's the case then I'll have to put it
11
    on for June 1st --
12
              MR. GABOR: Would it be commenced or completed, Your
13
    Honor?
14
              THE COURT: -- which is my first early available
    date. I'm sorry.
15
              MR. GABOR: Would it be fifteen days after it's
16
17
    commenced or completed the hearing?
18
              THE COURT: What's -- what section of the statute is
    it, Counsel?
19
20
              MR. SCHWARTZ: I'm sorry.
21
              THE COURT: What section of the statute is it?
22
              MR. SCHWARTZ: It's 1112(b)(3), Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: Okay. Well, you -- the motion is to be
    decided within fifteen days. So I can hear you on the 1st.
24
    That's my next available date. I can hear you the 28th and I
25
```

- 52 can hear you the 1st. Those are my next two available dates 1 2 within the fifteen day period. 3 MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, I'll move things around on my calendar and I'll be here on the 1st then. 4 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So -- and I'm 5 directing you as I said to file a joint pretrial order by the 6 close of business on May 27<sup>th</sup>. 7 8 [Pause in proceedings.] MR. CURTIN: Your Honor, will you carry our motion 9 10 pending the outcome of this motion? 11 THE COURT: Yes. What's -- did your office have a position on any of this? 12 13 MR. CURTIN: Your Honor, we were prepared to consent 14 to a short adjournment. I'm not going to get into the reasons 15 why but we may take a position at this hearing but I'm not quite sure yet. So if you would just carry our motion. We may 16 17 just let the parties litigate it. 18 THE COURT: Well, let's start this at -- I'm prepared to start it at nine or 9:30. 19 20 MR. SCHWARTZ: 9:30 looks better since I'm coming from New Jersey, Your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: So I'll start it at 9:30. 23 MR. MAROTTA: Do you intend to continue through the
- 25 THE COURT: Yes.

entire day, Your Honor?

24

MR. MAROTTA: What time will we be concluding? 1 2 THE COURT: I don't know. Maybe we'll just have to 3 keep going until we finish. If this has to be decided within fifteen days of the date that the hearing was commenced then 4 we may just have to keep going until we finish that day. 5 6 We'll have to see how it goes. 7 MR. MAROTTA: I'd just like to say that I have an 8 election that night that I need to be present for. 9 THE COURT: I didn't write the statute. 10 So joint pretrial order. Does anybody have any 11 questions about a joint pretrial order? 12 MR. SCHWARTZ: Is there a form on the website, Your 13 Honor? THE COURT: I think there is. My form is on the 14 15 website. 16 MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Does anybody have any questions? 18 MR. SCHWARTZ: The only other issue, Your Honor, is 19 that there's another motion on the calendar. It's our motion 20 for stay relief or alternatively for a determination of the 21 stay that doesn't apply. 22 THE COURT: Just let me ask you one question about 23 this. Why is it -- why do you -- why is it important to you 24 to have the judgment entered? What effect does that have in

your mind on your claim or why does that -- what's the reason

25

1 | for that, for you wanting that?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Because, Your Honor, I feel that the liquidation of our claim is important given the fact that the debtor --

THE COURT: But the claim has already been liquidated. So what's the point of -- if it can be -- the claim has been -- the decision has been made by the court. So what is the entry of the judgment add in your view?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, the opinion, Your Honor, doesn't liquidate the amount of the judgment. The opinion directed the parties to submit an order which would become a judgment which Sovereign did which the court entered.

THE COURT: The court signed it.

MR. SCHWARTZ: The court signed it. The debtor contends that that was signed the day after the petition was filed. So it wasn't formally entered on the docket in the state court. So the debtor is contending that our claim is one number. We obviously disagree wit the debtor's contention and the debtor sets forth a number in its plan which is lower than the number that we contend. So we --

THE COURT: So you're saying that I would lift the stay so that you could file -- have a judgment filed to preclude the debtor from challenging that -- the amount of the number.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes.

```
THE COURT: So why would I -- if you can't challenge
1
 2
    it -- if they can't -- if they can challenge it now why would
 3
    I allow you to lift the stay to preclude them from challenging
    it?
 4
 5
              MR. SCHWARTZ: I don't believe they can challenge it,
    Your Honor.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Well, then what's what point of lifting
 8
    the stay?
9
              MR. SCHWARTZ: The whole purpose, Your Honor, is I
10
    believe the debtor filed this bankruptcy in the first place
11
    because as Mr. Love testified during his Rule 2004 examination
12
    he believed that the state court was wrong in its decision.
13
    Sovereign filed an action. The debtor asserted a counterclaim
14
    based upon lender liability and other things, the same
15
    allegations that the debtor makes in its papers in response to
    our motion to convert and now the debtor or this court is
16
17
    going to seek to relitigate those same issues that they
18
    decided.
19
              THE COURT: But is that -- what precludes them from
20
    doing that, what principle of law would preclude them from
21
    doing that?
22
              MR. SCHWARTZ: I believe that collateral estoppel
23
    does, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: So collateral -- you believe that
25
    collateral estoppel applies even though the judgment was
```

signed -- that fixes the amount of the claim was filed postpetition -- was signed post-petition. You believe that the collateral estoppel still applies?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes, I do, Your Honor. I believe that it does because of the opinion. The issue was fully and finally decided and I also believe that the Rooker Feldman doctrine prevents --

THE COURT: But doesn't Rooker Feldman -- you have to have something that has to have been litigated to a final conclusion.

MR. SCHWARTZ: And I believe there was, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, if that's the case then you don't need for me to lift the stay for you to enter that judgment.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, the -- that's why I took the position, Your Honor, that the act of the entry of the judgment by the state court is nothing other than ministerial.

THE COURT: So your belief that it has a substant -you think it doesn't have a substantive effect. You think
that you have the same rights, you're in the same position as
far as the applicability of collateral estoppel and Rooker
Feldman is concerned whether or not the judgment was signed
pre-petition or post-petition.

MR. SCHWARTZ: As I stand here today, Your Honor, I would say but obviously the debtor would say no and we're going to get into an issue before this court as to whether or

1 | not there is any substantive issues.

THE COURT: See, my point is though if it is an issue why would I lift the stay to allow you to cut off discussion of that issue? And if it isn't an issue then there's no -- you don't have any need for the stay to be lifted.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, if it is a substantive issue,
Your Honor, then I believe that the Sonex case allows us to go
back to the state court to decide that substantive issue given
the fact that the state court had heard everything up until
that point, had fully and finally for the most part decided
all the issues and the only thing that's left is again -- I'll
call it the ministerial act of entering judgment whereas if it
was -- if it is -- if going back to state court is substantive
and then you're not -- you don't allow Sovereign to go back
and don't lift the stay and the debtor then seeks to
relitigate those issues before this court we're going to get
into an issue as to whether or not Rooker Feldman applies,
whether or not collateral estoppel applies.

THE COURT: I guess your point is that even -- let's suppose that this were a situation where the case had been -- the case had been tried to the state court but there wasn't a decision yet, there's no Rooker Feldman there, no collateral estoppel there.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Correct.

THE COURT: But even so you would say in that

circumstance under the principles articulated in Sonex, judicial economy and so forth, I should send the case back to the state court to complete the process of liquidating the claim which is certainly something a state court is in a position to do.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Absolutely, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Marotta.

MR. MAROTTA: Your Honor asked the question why.

THE COURT: Well, I got an answer to that. My question to you is why wouldn't I let -- why isn't this a matter that is appropriately brought to a conclusion by state court which is simply just the fixing of the amount of Sovereign's claim? Why should this court undertake that process when it's already been done by the state court?

MR. MAROTTA: Because as Your Honor may remember when counsel was here last time they represented to this court that there was a mortgage that was filed securing their claim. We now know that --

THE COURT: We're talking about the liquidation of the amount of their note. Is that correct? Because that's all we're talking about now.

MR. MAROTTA: Except for what they're asking is they want to lift the stay so they could docket the judgment in state court. The reason that they want to docket the judgment in state court is so that they can have a lien that attaches

to the debtor's other --

THE COURT: That's not -- I don't think that's what they're -- I would have to lift the stay for that purpose and I would -- and I don't think that's what they're asking for. They're not asking to lift the stay for the purpose of attaching a lien, a judgment lien to the property.

Is that right, Mr. --

MR. SCHWARTZ: That's absolutely correct, Your Honor. All we're seeking to do is liquidate our claim.

THE COURT: So understanding that is there any reason why I shouldn't lift the stay to allow the process of liquidation of this claim which proceeded to a conclusion essentially in state court to be finally completed so that we know what number we're working with in this proceeding? I think that might actually facilitate the determination of some of the issues that we're going to have to decide in the context of the motion to convert the case because now we will know the amount of Sovereign's claim that has to be dealt with in the context of the plan.

MR. MAROTTA: Except for the difference is, Judge, that as we stand here today Sovereign has an unsecured claim.

THE COURT: That's what you say. I don't know what they say but they're asking -- they're just asking to -- they're just asking to fix the amount of the claim here. This does not relate to whether the claim is secured or not

secured; correct?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Correct.

MR. MAROTTA: Well, if the judgment was docketed, which we put this in our papers, if the judgment is docketed it then becomes a secured claim.

THE COURT: Not unless I lift the stay to allow the judgment to become a secured claim. I would have to lift the stay for that purpose.

MR. MAROTTA: But then would it not attach to the other property of the debtor? For example, there are two vacant lots that are not encumbered by a mortgage. Wouldn't this give them a leg up on the other creditors in the estate?

THE COURT: I would not lift the stay for the purpose of permitting them to perfect any lien on the property by -- whether by filing of a judgment or otherwise. I'd lift the stay only to permit them to finalize the liquidation of their claim, the liquidation of the amount of their claim.

MR. MAROTTA: And not allow them to docket the judgment? That's all they've been asking --

THE COURT: If they docket it -- unless I -- if
they -- I would have to lift the stay -- they can do anything
they want to but unless the stay has been lifted to permit
that to occur then it is of no course and effect. So even if
they docket the judgment if I haven't lifted the stay to
permit them to perfect their lien or to obtain a judgment lien

- 1 that -- they don't have the ability to do that. Isn't that
  2 correct?
- MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes, Your Honor. In fact, if Your

  Honor would want to interlineate in the order that I submitted

  that the stay would be lifted solely to allow Sovereign to

  liquidate the amount of its claim and for no other purposes I

  would have no objection to that.
- 8 THE COURT: So with that is there any objection?
  9 MR. MAROTTA: Well, there is. The debtor's principal
  10 is also a guarantor.
- THE COURT: Well, that's -- why should that concern me?

13

14

15

16

17

18

- MR. MAROTTA: Well, it doesn't. Actually that issue has been addressed at the state court and there's a hearing on the  $21^{\rm st}$  of June before the state court on that -- on this issue.
- THE COURT: So then why -- that doesn't seem to me to pose any obstacle to lifting the stay.
- 19 MR. MAROTTA: Well, I want to talk about Sonex
  20 factors but before I mention that it's premature to lift the
  21 stay at this juncture because the debtor has proposed the
  22 plan.
- THE COURT: No. But I think that it is important in
  the context of evaluating the debtor's plan for the stay to be
  lifted to permit this claim to be liquidated.

1 MR. MAROTTA: And that can be done in this court.

THE COURT: But why would I start over again? It seems to me that all of the factors in Sonex relating to the judicial economy --

MR. MAROTTA: One would be that it wouldn't really fully liquidate the claim and the reason would be that the state court was only looking at the notes. They made a motion for summary judgment in lieu of complaint and under the CPLR, the State of New York that has to be limited to an instrument for the payment of money only. Therefore, it excludes the mortgages and any of the loan document that would require payments of tax, escrows and the like.

Now, some of the taxes that are --

THE COURT: So is Sonex is going to be -- excuse me, is Sovereign going to be seeking those amounts that are not -- in other words, if your -- if your claim is fixed by the entry of this judgment, are there additional amounts that would have to be determined --

MR. SCHWARTZ: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- other than -- other than anything that might have accrued post-judgment?

MR. MAROTTA: The amounts that Sovereign owes the debtor that constitute escrow payments for which they collected during -- for a tax period during which they should have paid taxes during which they did not pay taxes and then

1 there's also the --2 THE COURT: Wait, wait. Sovereign owes the 3 debtor? MR. MAROTTA: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: But what's that got to do with whether 6 Sovereign's claim should be liquidated? You're saying you have counterclaims against the debtor or something, against 7 8 Sovereign or something like that. 9 MR. MAROTTA: It's the first factor of Sonex. Ιt 10 does not provide a complete resolution of the issues --11 THE COURT: Well, it provides --12 MR. MAROTTA: -- between the parties. 13 THE COURT: It provides a complete resolution of the issue of the amount of Sovereign's claim. 14 15 MR. MAROTTA: But it doesn't because the amounts of the monthly payments included these escrows and the entire 16 17 dispute with Sovereign centers around the fact that they were 18 never able to tell us what the amount of the monthly payments 19 were --20 THE COURT: Aren't these --21 MR. MAROTTA: -- and how much of it were attributable 22 to escrow. 23 THE COURT: Are these not issues that were taken --24 that were addressed before the state court? 25 MR. MAROTTA: No. The only issue that was

addressed --

THE COURT: Why not?

MR. MAROTTA: Because the only issue that was addressed at the state court is that the payments that were made included escrow and that there was a failure of Sovereign to provide an escrow accounting and that therefore it's not simply the instrument for the payment of money only. The state court was only looking at the notes and the amounts due under the notes. So it did not adjudicate --

THE COURT: It may not resolve all of these questions but it certainly resolves a very substantial question which is the amount of Sovereign's claim against the debtor. There may be some other claims made -- there may or may not be an ability on the part of the debtors to challenge that or to seek some kind of offset based upon what you think are amounts of escrow that were paid that were not properly remitted to the taxing authorities. There may or may not be an ability to do that but there is -- but we'll still have gotten a long way.

MR. MAROTTA: Right. Under Sonex, under the first factor it does not favor dismissal for that reason. It doesn't provide a complete resolution.

The other factors on Sonex yes, it's a state court and certainly they have jurisdiction to hear this claim but it's not a specialized tribunal. It's not --

THE COURT: They tried the whole case. 1 2 MR. MAROTTA: But it's not a specialized tribunal. 3 They don't have any particular expertise or peculiar expertise as a landlord tenant court does to resolve a lease dispute. 4 Also, on the fifth factor of Sonex, whether there's 5 6 an insurer who's representing the debtor. The debtor doesn't 7 have any insurer that's representing him. 8 THE COURT: That factor comes up only when you're 9 talking about a malpractice claim or a claim for which the 10 debtor has insurance. So it's not applicable here. 11 MR. MAROTTA: And I have already said to Your Honor 12 that prejudice is potentially the rights of the other 13 creditors. 14 THE COURT: How so? 15 MR. MAROTTA: If there's a judgment that's docketed. 16 So --17 THE COURT: How so? 18 MR. MAROTTA: It would give them a lien on the 19 other --20 THE COURT: I'm telling you that it would not --21 MR. MAROTTA: Okay. 22 THE COURT: -- because I won't lift the stay for the 23 purpose of allowing that to occur. 24 MR. MAROTTA: Well, the only thing left in that state 25 court decision or that proceeding, that judge -- in front of

1 | that judge is whether you should be entering the judgment.

THE COURT: The point of entering the judgment I guess is to fix -- to finally fix the amount of the claim. That's the point.

MR. MAROTTA: I'm kind of -- to be perfectly honest, I think his November decision said how much the claim was. The problem was he directed that they submit an order. Instead of submitting an order where the judge would have recited the facts, the law and made determinations and entered an order directing the clerk of the court to enter the judgment, Sovereign's counsel chose to shortcut the process and submitted instead a proposed judgment and as I put in our motion --

THE COURT: So --

MR. MAROTTA: -- papers -- this is very important, Your Honor. As I put in our motion papers when you do that in New York County the clerk's office doesn't take too kindly to it and so then what happens is that they get a judgment that's been signed by a judge on January 12<sup>th</sup> and they marked it on unfiled. So this judgment and this adjudication that they're pursuing is something really that would require them to make a calculation of interest and submit additional affidavits to the clerk's office and prove their claim.

THE COURT: Is that correct?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, I wasn't handling that

```
case and I'm not familiar with the way it works in New York
1
 2
    State court. So I can't answer that question whether -- that
 3
    specific question.
              What I can tell Your Honor though is that the Sonex
 4
 5
    factors as we've set forth in our papers favor our --
 6
              THE COURT: All right. You don't need to go any
7
    further.
 8
              Mr. Marotta, are you prepared -- so does someone
   have this opinion in any of this mass of papers that's online
9
10
   here, the judge's opinion?
11
              MR. SCHWARTZ: I believe it was attached. I'll find
    it. It was attached to Sovereign's papers. It's Exhibit K,
12
13
    Your Honor, to our declaration of Mario Talichian [Ph.] which
14
   we filed in support of our motion to prohibit the debtor from
15
    using cash collateral.
16
              THE COURT: Is this the November 10, 2009 opinion --
17
              MR. MAROTTA: Yes.
18
              THE COURT: -- of Milton Tingling?
19
              MR. MAROTTA: Yes.
20
              MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes, Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: I don't see a number on this. Is there a
22
    number here?
23
              MR. SCHWARTZ: There is no number, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: There is no number?
```

MR. SCHWARTZ: There is no number.

25

THE COURT: Okay. So this -- where's the judgment, the proposed judgment?

MR. SCHWARTZ: That's Exhibit L, Your Honor, that same certification.

THE COURT: So this was -- so the judge signed this judgment?

MR. SCHWARTZ: On January 12<sup>th</sup>.

THE COURT: Right. So how is it they'd have to prove something up here. If the judge signed the judgment it would be entered as is; correct? You're saying that even if with a liquidated amount in the judgment that they want the clerk to enter that they'd still have to come with additional affidavits to show additional amounts?

MR. MAROTTA: Because they did it incorrectly because instead of -- the practice in the New York County clerk's office which I know painfully so well is that you submit the proposed judgment to the clerk's office but they didn't fulfill the step that the state court required which was to submit an order. So instead of submitting an order to Justice Tingling as he directed they submitted a proposed judgment to him. He signed the judgment on January 12<sup>th</sup>.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MAROTTA: Having done that besides being void ab initio because it's beyond -- it's the day after the petition was -- the order of relief the clerk's office in New York

County requires the plaintiff then to make affidavits and present calculations of interest and bill of costs and et cetera in order --

THE COURT: To back up their claim --

MR. MAROTTA: Right. And they have to appear in the clerk's office physically to do so.

THE COURT: So why wouldn't I -- if that's the case then why wouldn't the stay be lifted to permit them to go ahead and do that --

MR. MAROTTA: Your Honor just said that you would --

THE COURT: -- fix the amount of their claim?

MR. MAROTTA: Except that Your Honor specifically just said that you are not going to allow them to enter the judgment or docket the judgment so --

THE COURT: Mr. Marotta, let me see if we can get clear on this particular point. The automatic stay -- because of the automatic stay an action taken that would violate the stay has no effect unless the stay has been lifted. Right? So the court, this court has the authority to modify the stay to allow a judgment to be entered for some purposes but not others.

So, in other words, unless I lifted the stay to permit them to obtain a lien on the debtor's property their entering the judgment would not have the effect of giving them a lien on the property because the automatic stay would

make -- even if under state law in the absence of a bankruptcy that is what would occur the automatic stay prevents the lien from attaching unless the bankruptcy court has lifted the stay to permit that to occur. Are we clear on this?

MR. MAROTTA: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So the point --

MR. MAROTTA: There's another that --

THE COURT: If your argument is, Mr. Marotta, that they -- that they did it wrong in state court then why wouldn't I lift the stay to allow them to go back and submit a judgment to Justice Tingling along with the appropriate proof and you can submit whatever you think you should submit in that connection and we'll let Justice Tingling who handled this decide the amount of the claim and that will be -- that will be fixed and we won't have to -- that will be an issue that we don't have to deal with in the context of this case.

MR. MAROTTA: Right. I guess that really boils down to three issues then. It's because it does not provide a complete resolution of the claims between the parties and --

THE COURT: Well, it provides a complete resolution of Sovereign's claim against you and that cuts out a lot of issues in this case for me.

MR. MAROTTA: Perhaps but we still have to address the fact that Sovereign did not record its mortgage. We did do a final search.

THE COURT: But I don't care about that right now.

That's not my issue right now. The issue right now is the amount of their claim.

MR. MAROTTA: But my point is that that's an issue that has to be addressed between these two parties and it's a very big issue.

THE COURT: That may be but --

MR. MAROTTA: It has to be -- it would -- in confirmation hearings --

entirely different issue. It's an entirely different issue. It has nothing to do with the amount of their claim. I think it would be very useful to know what the amount of their claim is whether it's secured or unsecured. Calculating the amount of their claim is a separate issue from the question of whether their mortgage claim was properly filed or not or whether their assignment of leases and rents gives them the requisite security interest or any other argument that they may wish to make but that's not -- that doesn't have to do with the amount of their claim. So the fact that I don't have to deal with another issue doesn't mean that it wouldn't be helpful to get this issue resolved.

MR. MAROTTA: Perhaps and I think that Justice

Tingling also at our last appearance indicated that whatever

decision he would make he would give parties notice so that

they could propose counter orders and the like.

I would point out that counsel still is saying that they have not received a payment since April now they're saying, April 2008. The last time they were here they were saying May of 2008. The fact is their own counsel, prior counsel Schiff Hardin said the last payment they received was February 27, 2009. There's a couple of problems there, Judge, which is -- one is -- well, first of all, we did provide copies of canceled checks that support that the debtor made those interest payments of \$27,000.00 based by the way on their estimation because they didn't know how much the amount of the monthly payments were.

During the court proceeding, during the state court proceeding they continued to make those payments through February 2009. So that's one thing I just wanted to clear up that was said before.

THE COURT: Tell it to Justice Tingling.

MR. MAROTTA: What's that?

THE COURT: Tell it to Justice Tingling.

MR. MAROTTA: Okay. And the other issues under Sonex were what is the impact of the stay on the parties and the balance of the harms. Well, if we lift the stay now the debtor is holding an evidentiary hearing before Your Honor on June 1<sup>st</sup>, has been forced to go back to state court numerous times to defend against Sovereign's continuance urgence to

that state court judge to enter the judgment against the debtor also by the way post-petition and will now have to continue to do that. So now the debtor-in-possession is engaged in two tribunals.

THE COURT: Either you're going to have to do that here or you're going to do it there and it's --

MR. MAROTTA: That's what I'm saying, Your Honor.
Why don't we all do it here?

THE COURT: Because I'm not prepared to go back to square one and reconsider something that a state court judge has already gone through.

MR. MAROTTA: And the other thing I would point out is that I believe they have an affirmative duty that hey haven't carried out here which is to tell that state court judge that they -- that that January 12<sup>th</sup> order was entered after, was signed after the petition was filed and that he shouldn't be having those proceedings.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this. If the determination made by the state court judge was just that this was a case on an action on a note and that motion for summary judgment in lieu of the complaint was appropriate, has there really been anything done in state court to liquidate that claim? I'm asking counsel for Sovereign this question.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ \operatorname{SCHWARTZ}:\ \operatorname{I'm}\ \operatorname{sorry}.\ \operatorname{I}\ \operatorname{didn't}\ \operatorname{understand}\ \operatorname{the}$  question.

that I would lift the stay would be that the state court has already done work on this case to determine the amount of your claim and if that is not the case then why -- then taking the other side of the argument, why would I lift the stay. If all the state court judge decided was that yes, in fact, this is an instrument for the payment of money only and therefore a motion -- therefore summary judgment in lieu of a complaint can be granted and he did -- took no steps to determine the amount of the claim how is -- how is it -- how is he ahead of where I would be?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, my understanding is that he did receive substantial information in connection with the summary judgment proceeding about the amount of Sovereign's claim and the debtor asserted various counterclaims in connection with that and made the same argument that Mr. Marotta is making to you now and the court fully determined those issues. So the only thing that's left is for the liquidation of the amount. That's my understanding of the state court proceeding. So he wouldn't -- Your Honor wouldn't have to be deciding the issue from scratch. I believe that Justice Tingling decided the issue which he -- essentially he's on the one yard line and all we're asking Your Honor to do is to allow him to cross the finish line.

MR. MAROTTA: I'd also point out --

THE COURT: Just a minute, please.

[Pause in proceedings.]

THE COURT: I see there is -- it says the allegations of the defendants concerning payments are unsupported by the submitted instruments in support or opposition to the motion. So I guess the question of whether -- the question of the payments on -- whether payments were made on the notes was raised before the state court judge.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. MAROTTA: Payments on the notes?

THE COURT: It says -- Justice Tingling's opinion says that allegations of defendants, that would be your client, concerning payments are unsupported by the submitted instruments in support or opposition to the motion.

MR. MAROTTA: He's talking about the fact that we had paid September and they filed the action anyway. Their action was filed in September and we had said that when they filed the action they had already cashed our checks and maybe we didn't attach the checks but I want to point this out. All of that was submitted and argued in December of '08. So all -- whatever affidavits he had certainly didn't include any of the payments that went through February 27, 2009.

And I would just say, Your Honor, if you're inclined to send this back to state court I do think it's a burden and an expense on the DIP. I think it will impact the DIP's

- ability to keep pace in these proceedings and if you're 1 2 inclined to do so then we would ask that we would also have 3 leave to file an appeal and make a motion to reargue in the state court because we don't believe that the judge looked at 4 all the issues. That motion that was submitted in January or 5 December of '08 was not -- we had no decision on it and then 6 7 it didn't come to light again until August or September when 8 Sovereign's counsel began sending letters to the court and asking for status and whether an order would be issued any 9 10 time soon. 11 So we would want the ability that the stay would be
  - So we would want the ability that the stay would be lifted. Sovereign would also want the ability to go ahead and make that appeal and file that motion. We argue -- we think that the judge would consider the motion to reargue.
  - MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, after the last 45 minutes of arguing now we get to the debtor's real issue. The debtor disagrees with that decision and wants to appeal it.
  - THE COURT: But the -- Justice Tingling -- there's no number in this opinion whatsoever.
- 20 MR. SCHWARTZ: Absolutely, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: There's no finding made as to the
  22 amount -- as to amount. So you're starting from scratch on
  23 that --
- MR. SCHWARTZ: I don't believe so, Your Honor,
- 25 | because my --

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

THE COURT: You may have given him other papers on this but he's made no finding that he would be -- it would be a starting point.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, my understanding is that there was various pleadings, declarations, affidavits, et cetera that were submitted that contained figures. He fully understood those figures better --

THE COURT: That was months ago. That was in November. You think he remembers that?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Well --

THE COURT: You'll have to start over again.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, one of the factors in Sonex is not that a lot of time has gone by and the state court might have forgotten things. The factors of Sonex are met here and that court has --

THE COURT: Justice Tingling is going to have to start -- are you going to say to him you're going I guess -- I lift the stay, what are you going to do? What's the first thing you'll do? You will settle an order, won't you, Justice Tingling, with -- on one's notice to them with an amount and you'll have to back it up with calculations; correct? You'll submit a statement of -- where it shows the calculation of the amount of the claim, am I correct, along with the proposed order? And then they'll submit their counter order and it will be the same as if you were -- have you filed a proof of

claim in this case? 1 2 MR. SCHWARTZ: No, Your Honor. The deadline hasn't 3 come yet so we haven't done it. THE COURT: Why wouldn't you better off just filing a 4 5 proof of claim in this case and handling it here? What is the 6 advantage of going back to Justice Tingling? 7 MR. SCHWARTZ: Because, Your Honor, again --8 THE COURT: He has no -- he's not going to be able to 9 do it any faster than I would. 10 MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, I would --11 MR. MAROTTA: I would also submit --12 THE COURT: I'm not asking you for your comments 13 right now. 14 MR. MAROTTA: Yes, Your Honor. 15 MR. SCHWARTZ: I believe that he would, Your Honor. 16 Again, I think that there --17 THE COURT: Why is that? 18 MR. SCHWARTZ: Because my understanding is that he 19 was submitted with again affidavits, declarations, and they 20 contain figures. He understood those figures. Perhaps he 21 might have to refresh his memory by looking back at them. At 22 the end of the day we will submit whatever documents are 23 required in order to liquidate our claim. I'm sure the debtor

will then say Sovereign is wrong and here's what the figures

should be and he'll do whatever he does, but he's already --

24

25

again, he's gotten to the one yard line and he's --

THE COURT: Then we want -- then we're going to have appellate practice in state court and that's going to hold up this case forever.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, I don't know whether we're going to have appellate practice.

the COURT: I don't think there's anything that's been determined so far that would collateral estoppel effect here. I'm not sure whether the fact that he signed that judgment would have collateral estoppel effect but if it doesn't then I'm not sure what the advantage is of going back to Justice Tingling. This isn't a case where there was a trial. This is a case where there's a motion for summary judgment in lieu of a complaint. The only issue on that case is whether the instrument for payment of money only and whether there are I guess compulsory counterclaims. Is that the other issue on that?

MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes, I believe that that's correct, Your Honor, and I believe that Sovereign submitted proof of the amount that was owed. It submitted proof that it was owed these amounts on accountant's notes. The debtor argued that that amount should be reduced. The debtor had supposed counterclaims and the debtor had supposed defenses. He read all the papers. He decided that summary judgment and he decided it fully in favor of Sovereign.

THE COURT: Right. But he didn't fix an amount.

MR. SCHWARTZ: He didn't fix an amount. Instead he directed that the parties submit an order and he would then fix the amount pursuant to an order.

THE COURT: But he hasn't done that yet.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, again, Your Honor, he signed something. It might have been a day after the petition was filed. The debtor filed an order to prevent him from doing that. All we're asking the court to do is allow him to do what he already was at the one yard line ready to do. Your Honor knows nothing about this other than counsel's unsubstantiated statements here today. Justice Tingling knows a lot more about this because he handled this for a year-and-a-half or so.

THE COURT: So we're going to go -- we're going to send this back to state court and we're going to just wait until it wins its way through that process?

MR. SCHWARTZ: I don't know how long the process is going to take particularly because he was able --

THE COURT: I don't think -- as I'm understanding this now from Mr. Marotta, I don't think that this is a situation where you're just going to take that judgment and docket it and that's the amount. I think you're going to have to go back and prove up the amount of your claim.

MR. SCHWARTZ: I don't know if that's the procedure.

THE COURT: And if that's the case then I don't know 1 2 why it should be done there instead of here. 3 MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor --THE COURT: Because -- and with all of the attended 4 5 delay and I lose control over the process and then we're 6 talking about a substantial period of time of delay. 7 MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, I don't know that that's 8 the process because I'm unfamiliar with the practice in New York State court. 9 10 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Marotta says that he is and --11 MR. SCHWARTZ: Perhaps what I can do is --12 THE COURT: -- in the absence of anyone with better 13 knowledge I guess I would take his word for it. 14 MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, perhaps what I would suggest and 15 I would suggest to the court would be --16 THE COURT: Do you want me to carry this motion? 17 MR. SCHWARTZ: -- to carry this motion until June 1st 18 and I will check with the litigator who handled this in New 19 York State court who certainly knows that issue much better 20 than I and I can address the court with respect to that issue on June 1<sup>st</sup>. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay then. I'm not sure that I'm going 23 to hear this on June 1st. I think we're going to turn to 24 the -- I will tell you right now that this will -- I'm going

to turn my attention first to the motion to convert and if we

25

- don't -- we'll take that to a conclusion. If we don't have --1 2 if we have time we can address this. If we don't have time we 3 won't get to it. MR. SCHWARTZ: I understand that, Your Honor. 4 assume, Your Honor, that the joint pretrial order that Your 5 6 Honor had indicated earlier lists things like witnesses and 7 documents and things like that. 8 THE COURT: Yes. Okay. Anything else? MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. That's it from 9 10 Sovereign's side. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else from the debtor? MR. GABOR: No, Your Honor. Thank you very much. 12 13 MR. MAROTTA: I'll just note, Your Honor, that June 23<sup>rd</sup> is a date scheduled for hearing on the approval of the 14 15 disclosure statement. THE COURT: Well, you might as well -- you might as 16 17 well take that off the calendar because this plan is 18 unconfirmable as written. So I think that that date is one 19 that you're going to have to change. 20 MR. MAROTTA: Well, sometimes arrears can be paid 21 over time. 22 THE COURT: No they can't. Not if you want to treat 23 the creditor as unimpaired.
- MR. MAROTTA: We'll pay interest on the arrears.
- 25 THE COURT: No, that's -- Mr. Marotta, we need to get

```
clear on a couple of points here. Let's get to the section
1
 2
    that defines what is -- impairment of claims or interest. A
 3
    class is impaired unless you cure the default, reinstate the
   maturity of the claim and compensate the holder of the claim
 4
    for -- or interest for damages incurred as a result of any
 5
    reasonable reliance on the contractual provision. So that
 6
 7
   means that you have to cure the default, period, end of story.
 8
   Not over time. Not with an interest factor. You have to cure
    the default and reinstate the maturity of the claim and then
9
10
    in addition to that if there are some other damages that have
11
    been incurred by reason of the default those have to be paid
12
    as well. Under -- you cannot treat a party as unimpaired
13
    by -- and pay out -- and pay the cure of the default over a
14
    period of time. It's to be paid on or before the effective
15
    date of the plan.
16
              MR. MAROTTA: And as Mr. Gabor suggested, at this
17
    point in time in the midst of motions pending before this
18
    court the debtor simply filed a proposed plan.
19
              THE COURT: Right, with --
              MR. MAROTTA: Which the debtor will have --
20
21
              THE COURT: With a complete sham, phoney plan.
22
              MR. MAROTTA: I'm sorry to hear Your Honor say that
23
    because it was a lot of work that went into preparing that
```

25 THE COURT: That's -- it looked like it was form that

24

plan.

was --

MR. MAROTTA: And not having the proof of claim from Sovereign that we had to make some assumptions and being told that there was a mortgage that there was not. We were also forced to make other assumptions.

THE COURT: But there was no -- Mr. Marotta, there was no scenario whether they were secured or unsecured that you were treating their claim as unimpaired and yet that's what you put in the plan and this is the only creditor at this point that you have a fight with. So there was --

MR. MAROTTA: If we listed them as impaired even if they objected as long as an impaired class voted in favor of the plan we might still overcome the objection.

THE COURT: But you didn't treat them as -- you did not -- this plan as it is drafted is unconfirmable. It's unconfirmable. It does not treat -- it does not correctly describe the treatment of their claim.

MR. MAROTTA: And Your Honor may decide at some point in time that we need to determine what the extent of their security interest is before the plan could be confirmed.

THE COURT: Well, whether they are secured or --

MR. MAROTTA: Until they file a proof of claim we don't really know what their claim is.

THE COURT: Whatever their claim is you're not treating them as unimpaired, not the way you describe -- not

the way you're describing the treatment in the disclosure statement that you're going to give them. The only -- unless you are planning on raising the money to cure the default and to reinstate the mortgage or the note in its -- on its original terms you're not treating them as unimpaired.

MR. MAROTTA: The notes has already been accelerated.

about -- you can treat them as unimpaired. I believe you can reinstate the original maturity date of the note even if it's been accelerated but even so you didn't -- there was only one reason to file this plan because of the -- because of this agreement or the dispute that you have with Sovereign.

MR. MAROTTA: Yes.

THE COURT: So the only really important thing in that plan was how you were treating Sovereign's claim and it was a complete -- it was a nonsense, a nonsense plan at least as it related to that because you said you were treating them as unimpaired and you patently are not.

MR. MAROTTA: I don't see how that's fair. If we don't know they have a recorded mortgage, if we don't know the extent of their claim, how can we have treated them fully and fairly yet -- I mean we've proposed something. We spoke with counsel. They refused to meet with us. We speak with counsel but the parties will not sit down.

THE COURT: But what you call -- what you propose --

what your propose was to put them in a category where they're not entitled to vote because they're unimpaired and clearly what you are proposing to do with them no matter what their claim is was not to treat them as unimpaired. Whether secured or unsecured clearly they're not unimpaired as of the way that you're treating them.

MR. MAROTTA: As Mr. Gabor stated, if Your Honor finds that they are impaired then --

THE COURT: They obviously are impaired. They're obviously impaired.

MR. MAROTTA: Well, then we could amend --

THE COURT: The problem I have, Mr. Marotta, with the way that you operate is that you seem to assume that you can make arguments that really have no basis in the fact of the case. So I think that it would work a lot better, it would work a lot better in this case and in other cases if you put yourself in the mind set of we're going to go by the code and the rules and the facts of the case and don't ask me to assume something which is patently ridiculous.

MR. MAROTTA: I did not ask Your Honor to assume anything. I mean I didn't make any representations about the mortgage. Last time we were here Sovereign made the representation that a judgment was entered they said and I said there wasn't.

THE COURT: Mr. Marotta, I'm talking about you right

now. I'm not talking about them. Okay? I'm talking about the way you are conducting yourself in this hearing -- in this proceeding and I think that this whole case would go a lot more smoothly if you would -- when I tell you that this -- or I read to you the provision that describes what being impaired is if you would listen to that and respond to me in a rational way instead of making a ridiculous assertion I think that that -- I think that this would go a lot more smoothly if you would think about it in those terms.

MR. MAROTTA: Okay. I didn't mean to offend the court. I would say that I did look at some of these cases on reinstating a loan and I found them to be complex. I am not prepared today, Your Honor, to address that and I apologize that I'm not, but I didn't understand that you would be debating whether Sovereign was unimpaired or impaired.

THE COURT: The point is you filed a plan in order to show that you could confirm a plan but you filed a plan that is -- was unconfirmable on its face as it relates to the treatment of Sovereign's claim because it proposed to treat them as unimpaired when they patently are impaired. So we could argue about whether reinstating it in a month or two months or three months was within the time frame effect -- if you propose -- tell me an effective date, how long can you postpone it we could talk about that but that's not what you proposed in your plan. What you proposed is not within the

framework of any discussion that would relate to whether this claim is impaired or not impaired. Obviously it's impaired. So I don't know whether you're not -- you don't do -- I don't know what -- I don't know what the issue is here that it would work --

As I say, in the interest of this case going forward as smoothly as it can, I would ask you to -- don't tell me it's day when it's night. I would ask you to make an effort to screen the assertions that you make and make sure that they are within the framework of what -- at least something that could be substantively argued about. Okay? I apologize if this is -- if you have been offended and I hope that we can work better going forward.

MR. MAROTTA: I may be a little exhausted from litigating this with Sovereign but I'd just like to say that if I did find a case that said a reasonable period of time or the like could I submit it to Your Honor? I don't --

of time is not over the life of the plan. It might be thirty days but it's not within -- it's not what you proposed. In fact, you didn't even propose to cure arrears. What you say about the treatment of Sovereign's claim makes no mention of treating arrears. What you're proposing to do under this plan has no -- that doesn't address arrears in any way, shape or form. It talks about paying out the claim with in an interest

factor over time. That's not unimpaired. 1 2 MR. MAROTTA: Paying interest on the arrears and then 3 paying it off early as opposed to the maturity date. THE COURT: That's not unimpaired. That is a change 4 5 in the contractual rights of the creditor. It might be permissible under another provision of the bankruptcy code but 6 7 it's not unimpaired and therefore they are entitled to vote. 8 MR. MAROTTA: I'm not arguing that day is night any 9 more. 10 THE COURT: Well, I think that you should stop doing 11 that. 12 MR. MAROTTA: I was just explaining what we proposed. 13 That's all. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I think we've exhausted this So I'll see you on June 1st. 15 subject. 16 MR. MAROTTA: Thank you. MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 18 THE CLERK: All rise. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

	90				
1	I certify that the foregoing is a court transcript from				
2	an electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-				
3	entitled matter.				
4					
5					
6	Shari Riemer				
7	Dated: May 26, 2010				
8					
9					
LO					
L1					
L2					
L3					
L4					
L5					
L6					
L7					
L8					
L9					
0 2					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

	Order Offfine at.	w w w.type w p.com	
\$	<b>10007</b> [1] <b>1</b> :17	Α	advantage [4] 44:3,4 78:6 79:11
·	<b>11</b> ଓ <b>6:</b> 6 <b>24:</b> 21 <b>36:</b> 11		affidavits [6] 66:22 68:13 69:1 75:
\$1 [1] 23:20	1112(b [1] 16:2	a-half [1] 80:14	21 <b>77:</b> 5 <b>78:</b> 19
\$1,000.00 [2] 12:23 13:22	1112(b)(1 11 3:6	ab [1] 68:23	affiliated [2] 13:3,20
\$10,000.00 [2] 6:12 46:6	<b>1112(b)(2</b> 3 <b>8</b> :17,23 <b>25</b> :19	ability [7] 45:19 61:1 64:14,17 76:	affirmative [1] 73:13
<b>\$100,000.00</b> [1] <b>24</b> :10	1112(b)(3 2 50:16 51:22	1,11,12	afternoon [5] 2:4,7,9,12 29:20
<b>\$11,000.00</b> [1] <b>28:</b> 3	<b>1112(b)(4</b> [4] <b>3</b> :16 <b>16</b> :4 <b>19</b> :19 <b>20</b> :9	able [7] <b>25</b> :11 <b>28</b> :12 <b>40</b> :12,13 <b>63</b> :	aggregate [1] 35:24
<b>\$125,000.00</b> [1] <b>24:</b> 10	11201 (1) 1:28	18 <b>78</b> :8 <b>80</b> :19	ago [4] 4:19 27:3 45:11 77:8
<b>\$140,000.00</b> [2] <b>29</b> :11 <b>45</b> :8	<b>1121(e</b> [2] <b>8</b> :25 <b>43</b> :21	above [1] 90:2	agree [6] 10:2 18:5 32:7 36:4 40:
<b>\$16,000.00</b> [5] <b>27</b> :16,17 <b>32</b> :17 <b>39</b> :	1129(e [2] 8:25 43:21	absence [4] 17:15 44:19 70:1 81:	12 <b>41:</b> 7
15 <b>40</b> :4	<b>11th</b> [6] <b>3</b> :23 <b>16</b> :17 <b>47</b> :13 <b>50</b> :4,6,8	12	agreed [2] 46:14,14
\$18,000.00 [3] 37:9 38:6 39:3	<b>12866</b> [1] <b>1:</b> 32	absent [2] 3:6 9:24	agreement [1] 85:12
<b>\$180,000.00</b> [1] <b>35:</b> 24	12th [4] 66:19 68:7,21 73:15	absolutely [4] 32:4 58:6 59:8 76:	ahead [4] 2:15 69:9 74:10 76:12
\$19,000.00 [12] 16:16,18,23 17:2,4,	1300 [1] 1:16	20	albarra [2] 23:9,19
5,7,9 <b>38</b> :12 <b>39:</b> 4,12 <b>40:</b> 8	<b>15</b> [1] <b>5</b> :19	accelerated [3] 22:19 85:6,10	allegations 3 55:15 75:3,12
<b>\$2,000.00</b> [1] <b>5</b> :14		accept [1] 40:14	
<b>\$2,700.00</b> [1] <b>22:</b> 2	15th [2] 14:16 19:22	accordance [1] 33:22	alleged [1] 23:9
\$24,000.00 [1] 11:8	18th [2] 50:5,9	according [4] 21:23 23:25 24:11	allow [14] 20:15 29:17 55:3 57:3,
\$25,000.00 [1] 19:25	19 [1] 1:8	41:3	14 <b>59</b> :11 <b>60</b> :6,18 <b>61</b> :5 <b>69</b> :13,20 <b>70</b>
\$27,000.00 [6] 22:4,6,8,10,11 <b>72</b> :	19th [1] 16:22	account [12] 4:14,18,19,21 6:14	10 <b>74</b> :24 <b>80</b> :9
I	<b>1st</b> [13] <b>49</b> :10,11,14,15 <b>51</b> :11,24 <b>52</b> :		allowed [2] 6:24 16:12
10	1,4 <b>72</b> :24 <b>81</b> :17,21,23 <b>89</b> :15	<b>19</b> :10 <b>29</b> :9,10 <b>45</b> :9 <b>46</b> :13 <b>47</b> :6 <b>48</b> :	allowing [2] 20:16 65:23
\$3.5 [1] 22:21	2	15	allows [1] <b>57</b> :7
\$38,000.00 [3] 15:8 34:14 48:25		accountant [1] 13:21	almost
<b>\$38,500.00</b> [4] <b>14</b> :20,21 <b>15</b> :2 <b>48</b> :	2 [1] 9:18	accountant's [1] 79:21	already [13] 29:23 36:24 48:22 49:
20	<b>2000</b> [2] <b>11</b> :14 <b>38</b> :18	accountants [1] 15:16	1 <b>54</b> :5 <b>58</b> :14 <b>65</b> :11 <b>73</b> :11 <b>74</b> :3 <b>75</b> :
\$39,000.00 [3] 40:1,2,4	<b>2004</b> [13] <b>4</b> :8 <b>6</b> :10 <b>12</b> :22 <b>13</b> :5 <b>14</b> :	accounted [2] 48:23 49:1	18 <b>78</b> :25 <b>80</b> :10 <b>85</b> :6
<b>\$4</b> [1] <b>22:</b> 22	21 <b>24</b> :1,13 <b>28</b> :6 <b>34</b> :16 <b>44</b> :18,23 <b>45</b> :	accounting [6] 19:23,25 20:2 45:	alternatively [1] 53:20
<b>\$44,000.00</b> [4] <b>36</b> :24 <b>37</b> :3,6 <b>39</b> :3	7 <b>55</b> :11	18 <b>47</b> :9 <b>64</b> :6	although [1] 17:16
<b>\$450.00</b> [1] <b>48:</b> 15	<b>2008</b> [4] <b>21</b> :21 <b>22</b> :7 <b>72</b> :4,5	accounts [1] 47:7	altogether [1] 18:12
\$500.00 [1] <b>27:</b> 24	<b>2009</b> [4] <b>67:</b> 16 <b>72:</b> 7,15 <b>75:</b> 22	accrual [1] 37:4	amend [3] 41:22 43:9 86:11
\$55,000.00 [1] <b>40</b> :6	<b>2010</b> [5] <b>1:</b> 8 <b>26:</b> 18,23,24 <b>90:</b> 5	accrued [1] 62:21	american [6] 12:6 32:16 33:9,24
<b>\$57,000.00</b> [2] <b>39:</b> 14,14	<b>20th</b> [3] <b>16</b> :22 <b>19</b> :23 <b>26</b> :19	across [1] 13:7	<b>34:</b> 2,7
\$6,000.00 [3] 10:23 11:4,8	<b>211</b> [1] <b>1:</b> 31	act [6] 9:3,5,12 33:1 56:15 57:12	among [2] <b>4</b> :9 <b>19</b> :22
<b>\$6,100.00</b> [1] <b>12</b> :16	21st [1] 61:15	action [8] 44:13,25 55:13 69:17 73:	amount [41] 5:14 6:3 21:25 38:23
<b>\$6,800.00</b> [2] <b>27</b> :10,18	23rd [1] 82:14	20 <b>75</b> :16,16,18	<b>54</b> :10,23 <b>56</b> :1 <b>58</b> :12,20 <b>59</b> :18,24
\$60,000.00 [5] <b>26</b> :25 <b>27</b> :4 <b>48</b> :11,	<b>24</b> [2] <b>7</b> :3 <b>12</b> :2	actual [2] 26:24 45:16	
12,16	<b>2531</b> [1] <b>45</b> :13	actually 5 7:4 19:20 26:7 59:15	<b>60</b> :17 <b>61</b> :6 <b>63</b> :14,18 <b>64</b> :12 <b>66</b> :3
<b>\$63,848.00</b> [2] <b>48:</b> 3,3	<b>26</b> [1] <b>90:</b> 5	<b>61:</b> 13	<b>68</b> :11 <b>69</b> :11 <b>70</b> :14 <b>71</b> :3,12,13,14,
<b>\$7,000.00</b> [1] <b>6</b> :13	<b>27</b> [3] <b>22:</b> 3 <b>72:</b> 7 <b>75:</b> 22	adamo [7] 10:24 11:5,10 30:6,7 37:	20 <b>72</b> :11 <b>74</b> :3,10,14,19 <b>76</b> :22,22
\$7,500.00 <sup>[1]</sup> 18:11	<b>271</b> [1] 1:27	9 38:6	<b>77</b> :20,23 <b>79</b> :20,22 <b>80</b> :1,2,4,23,24
\$7,800.00 @ 18:16 36:5,19 37:2	<b>277</b> [1] <b>1</b> :16	add [10] 6:11 35:23 36:20 37:3 39:	amounts [8] 62:15,17,22 63:15 64:
<b>38:7 39:</b> 2	27th [1] 52:7	2,3,3,6 <b>40</b> :4 <b>54</b> :8	8,15 <b>68</b> :13 <b>79</b> :21
\$700,000.00 [2] <b>22</b> :15,25	28th 3 49:23 51:6,25	added [2] 37:7 38:10	and-a-half [2] 39:13 40:7
		adding [1] 40:2	another 8 12:25 16:5 17:13 28:4
<b>\$700.00</b> [3] <b>12</b> :14,15,19 <b>\$73,000.00</b> [3] <b>39</b> :5,11,14	3	addition [7] 7:8 8:8 12:8,19 17:13	<b>53</b> :19 <b>70</b> :7 <b>71</b> :21 <b>89</b> :6
	3:59 [1] 2:1	<b>25:</b> 20 <b>83:</b> 10	answer [7] 8:3,4 15:14,17,19 58:9
\$80,000.00 [2] 36:16 48:16	<b>30</b> [1] <b>7</b> :4		<b>67</b> :2
\$81,000.00 [4] 26:17 27:1 48:9,12	<b>350</b> [1] <b>23</b> :21	additional [4] <b>62</b> :17 <b>66</b> :22 <b>68</b> :12,	answered [1] 15:16
\$85,000.00 [4] <b>18</b> :23 <b>19</b> :10 <b>35</b> :20	<b>363</b> [1] <b>15</b> :5	13	answering [1] 31:20
<b>36</b> :18	<b>364</b> [1] <b>15</b> :5	address [15] 20:18 35:11,12,14,25	answers [1] <b>15:</b> 15
\$85,875.00 [1] 18:13		<b>36</b> :22 <b>38</b> :25 <b>46</b> :24 <b>47</b> :3,20 <b>70</b> :23	anticipated [1] 26:22
\$85,960.00 [1] <b>12</b> :4	4	<b>81</b> :20 <b>82</b> :2 <b>87</b> :13 <b>88</b> :24	anticipates [2] 26:16 27:23
<b>\$9</b> [1] <b>46</b> :8	4(a [1] 9:4	addressed [6] 29:24 61:14 63:24	anybody [2] <b>53</b> :10,17
\$95,000.00 [3] 17:6 19:10 36:21	<b>45</b> [1] <b>76</b> :15	<b>64</b> :1,4 <b>71</b> :5	anyway [1] <b>75</b> :16
<b>\$95,000.000</b> [1] <b>35</b> :24		adequate [6] 16:14 19:7 25:13 38:	apologize [2] 87:13 88:11
0	7	11 <b>39</b> :12 <b>40</b> :8	apparent [2] 11:6 24:21
	<b>7</b> [2] <b>25</b> :4 <b>28</b> :24	adjacent [1] 30:14	apparently [7] 11:8 13:7 14:7,23
07862 [1] 1:23	8	adjourned [1] 28:9	<b>23</b> :13 <b>24</b> :15 <b>25</b> :13
<b>08</b> [2] <b>75</b> :20 <b>76</b> :6		adjournment [1] 52:14	appeal [3] <b>76</b> :3,13,17
1	<b>85</b> [1] <b>36:</b> 17	adjudicate [1] 64:9	appear [4] 27:19 28:4,10 69:5
	9	adjudication [1] 66:20	appearance 3 29:23 34:11 71:24
<b>1</b> [2] <b>9</b> :19,20		administrative 🛭 19:৪,11 35:6	appearances [2] 1:12 2:3
<b>10</b> [2] <b>6</b> :6 <b>67</b> :16	<b>9:30</b> [3] <b>52:</b> 19,20,22	admit [1] 4:12	appeared [1] 44:10
<b>10:00</b> [1] <b>49</b> :12		admitted [4] 4:11 5:24,25 11:20	appeared (1) 44.10
		•	

TypeWrite Word Processing Service (518) 581-8973 Fax (518) 207-1901 Order Online at: www.typewp.com

appellate [2] 79:3,6 applicability [1] 56:20 **applicable** [1] **65**:10 applies [5] 16:10 55:25 56:3 57:17, apply [5] 9:1 10:6,9 43:22 53:21 appropriate [2] 70:11 73:21 appropriately [1] 58:11 approval [5] 3:24 15:7,8 16:6 82: approximately [4] 22:2 26:25 36: 5.24 april [24] 14:16 16:20,20 19:22,23 **21**:21 **22**:7 **26**:19,23 **33**:17 **35**:19 38:12,15,22 39:4 46:14,21 48:2,21, 23 49:2 51:6 72:3.4 araback [2] 23:22.25 araback's [1] 23:24 aren't [1] 63:20 argue [5] 7:13 10:6,7 76:13 87:21 argued [5] 6:23,24 75:20 79:21 88: arguing [5] 3:3 33:3,7 76:16 89:8 argument [6] 21:22 33:3 70:8 71: 18 74:5,16 arguments [1] 86:14 arise [1] 44:14 around [2] 52:3 63:17 arrearage [2] 22:13,23 arrears [10] 21:7,17,23 41:4 82:20, 24 88:21.23.24 89:2 articulated [1] 58:1 asserted [2] 55:13 74:15 assertion [1] 87:7 assertions [1] 88:9 asserts [1] 22:20 asset [2] 31:3 32:4 assets [3] 13:9,13,17 assignment [1] 71:17 assistant [1] 1:26 associated [2] 19:8,12 assume [10] 7:1 20:21 23:24 24:2 **27:**10 **41:**18 **82:**5 **86:**13,18,20 assuming [3] 22:24 32:14,19 assumptions [3] 40:14 84:3,5 atm [1] 44:8 attach [2] 60:9 75:19 attached [10] 22:18 24:12 26:4,6, 21 27:21 47:11,12 67:11,12 attaches [2] 25:20 58:25 attaching [2] 59:6 70:3 attachment [1] 24:7 attachments [2] 26:12,13 attempt [1] 31:16 attended [1] 81:4 attention [3] 4:19 10:25 81:25 attorney [2] 31:16 47:18 attributable [1] 63:21 august [1] 76:7 authorities [1] 64:17 authority [3] 16:25 46:16 69:19 automatic [4] 69:16.17.25 70:2

available [5] 28:8 50:1 51:14,25 52:1 avenue [1] 1:22 average [1] 27:16 avoidable [1] 44:16 avoidance [1] 44:13 aware [1] 2:17 away [1] 23:22

В **b)(2** [2] **9:**15,16 b-r-i-t-e [1] 13:2 back [32] 5:10 7:24 8:4 13:17 24:3. 19 **27**:13 **30**:8 **32**:13 **34**:12 **39**:6 **40**:1.2 **48**:7.22.24 **49**:2 **57**:8.13.14 **58**:2 **69**:4 **70**:10 **72**:24 **73**:9 **75**:24 77:21 78:6,21 79:11 80:16,24 backed [1] 49:2 bad [1] 24:24 **balance** [1] **72**:22 bank [7] 1:18 2:6 7:19 13:23 29:9, 10 **35**:22 bankruptcy [16] 1:11 4:6 6:14 7: 13 **25**:1,2 **30**:16 **31**:5 **37**:21 **44**:4 **45**:21 **48**:14 **55**:10 **70**:1,3 **89**:6 barely [1] 24:5 based [7] 4:24 7:24 28:12 41:17 **55**:14 **64**:15 **72**:10 basis [26] 4:6,12,15 5:9 6:2 7:9 9: 11 **11:**17 **12:**17,20 **13:**2 **16:**13 **23:** 12,13 27:18 28:1,22,23 37:19 38:8. 9 39:23 40:5 43:6 48:17 86:14 became [1] 6:20 become [2] 54:11 60:7 becomes [1] 60:5 began [2] 2:1 76:8 begin [1] 3:5 beginning [3] 18:14 35:21 44:10

behind [2] 10:3 22:9 belief [1] 56:17 believe [27] 3:17 5:14 10:19 12:2 **21**:20 **22**:7 **29**:11 **32**:5 **46**:20 **55**:5, 10,22,24 **56**:2,4,6,11 **57**:7 **67**:11 **73**:13 **74**:21 **76**:4,24 **78**:15 **79**:18, believed [4] 33:21 34:6.9 55:12 below [1] 27:5

behalf [2] 2:6 39:18

berman [7] 13:24,25 14:7,10 23:8, 11,15 besides [1] 68:23 best [5] 3:9 9:22 32:25 33:4 34:7 better [11] 49:8 50:9,11 52:20 77:7 **78:**4 **81:**12,19 **86:**15,16 **88:**13 between [4] 48:12 63:12 70:19 71: beyond [1] 68:24

big [1] 71:6 biggest [1] 36:14 bill [6] 32:16 33:9,24 34:3,7 69:2 bmw [3] 11:17,21 12:5 boils [1] 70:17

borrowed [1] 34:23

borrows [1] 15:6 both [1] 10:13 bottom [1] 13:18 boulevard [1] 45:13 briefly [1] 47:19 bring [1] 30:4 bringing [1] 17:17 brings [1] 29:21 **brite** [6] **13**:2,6,10,10,16,19 **broadway** [1] 1:16 brooklyn [1] 1:28

brother [1] 13:3 brought [4] 4:18 10:24 29:5 58:11 budget [2] 46:19 47:8 build [1] 32:3

building [3] 45:13 47:9,10 burden [8] 8:22 9:13 10:8,10 25: 16,19 29:22 75:24 bush [1] 48:5

business [4] 45:20 46:7 49:13 52:

C

cadman [1] 1:27 calculating [1] 71:14 calculation [2] 66:22 77:22 calculations [2] 69:2 77:21 calendar [4] 2:17 52:4 53:19 82: 17 call [3] 48:21 57:12 85:25 called [3] 13:2 23:8 27:22 came [5] 7:15 14:23 16:15 28:7 45: 11 canceled [1] 72:9 cannot [7] 5:10 9:10 10:8 15:10 25: 7,16 83:12 capital [1] 12:6 car [1] 11:21 card [1] 11:20 cards [4] 11:17,21 12:5 28:20 care [1] 71:1 carla [1] 1:10 carried [1] 73:14 carry [4] 52:9,16 81:16,17 cart [1] 39:10 carting [5] 13:2,6,10,11,19 carting's [1] 13:16 case [69] 1:9,9 3:10 4:2,3,17,20,23,

24 6:5 7:24 8:5,14 11:4 17:24 18: 14,20 **19**:13,17 **20**:6,24 **24**:20,24 **25**:3 **27**:3 **28**:15,23,25 **29**:3,17 **32**: 17,19,21 **35:**21 **41:**25 **42:**1 **43:**1,7, 18 **44**:9 **49**:9 **51**:10 **56**:12 **57**:7,20, 21 **58**:2 **59**:17 **65**:1 **67**:1 **69**:7 **70**: 16,22 **73:**20 **74:**3,4 **78:**1,5 **79:**4,12, 13,14 81:1 86:15,16,18 87:3 88:6, 16

cases [2] 86:16 87:11 cash [30] 3:22,25 7:10,16 8:8 11:1 **14**:17 **16**:1,7,7,12,25 **17**:8,11 **18**: 16 20:11 24:3 26:20 32:17 36:4,12 19 37:15,16,19,24 39:23 40:5 48: 18 **67:**15

cashed [1] 75:18 category [1] 86:1 cause [22] 3:11,13,15,17,18 4:1 8: 4 10:11,17 16:1,4,5,5 17:13 18:20 **19**:16,19 **20**:8 **25**:3 **28**:24 **29**:23 **35:**12

causes [1] 44:25 ceased [1] 37:9 cell [3] 11:17,22,22 centers [1] 63:17

certain [6] 3:16 6:25 11:16 12:3 15: 11 50:14

certainly [16] 6:21 12:18 15:4,18 16:9 17:9,10 20:10,10 47:5 50:25 58:4 64:11,24 75:21 81:19 certification [1] 68:4 certify [1] 90:1

cetera [5] 28:20,20 29:14 69:3 77:

challenge [4] 55:1,2,5 64:14 challenging [2] 54:23 55:3 chance [5] 25:23 40:17 44:4 46:24 **47**·1

change [3] 50:25 82:19 89:4 chapter [4] 24:21 25:3 28:23 36:

characterize [1] 33:5 characterized [1] 42:16 check [2] 15:15 81:18 checks [4] 7:10 72:9 75:18,19 chose [1] 66:11

circumstance [1] 58:1 circumstances [10] 3:7 8:6.13.19 9:21,24 20:14 25:18 32:23,23 claim [69] 23:23 35:7 53:25 54:3,5, 7,17 **56**:1 **58**:4,13,17 **59**:9,12,18,

21,24,25 **60**:5,7,17,17 **61**:6,25 **62**: 6,16 **63**:6,14 **64**:12,24 **65**:9,9 **66**:3, 6,23 **69**:4,11 **70**:14,21 **71**:3,12,13, 15,16,20 **73:**23 **74:**4,10,15 **77:**23 **78:**1,5,23 **80:**24 **83:**4,4,9 **84:**2,8,17, 22,23,24 85:15,21 86:4 87:19 88:2, 22.25

claims [3] 64:13 70:19 83:2 class [2] 83:3 84:12 cleaned [2] 43:24 44:2 clear [6] 20:12 28:25 69:16 70:4 72:15 83:1

clearly [4] 42:1,2 86:2,5 clerk [4] 2:2 66:10 68:11 89:18 clerk's [6] 66:17,23 68:15,17,25 69:

client [8] 14:12,13 21:3 43:4 45:1, 2 47:2 75:13

client's [1] 14:3 close [3] 22:24 49:13 52:7

closer [1] 22:22 closing [1] 47:22

code [6] 7:13 8:17 17:11 31:6 86:

collateral [26] 3:22.25 7:16 11:1 **14**:4,17 **16**:1,7,7,12,25 **17**:8,11 **20**:

11 **26:**20 **48:**18 **55:**22,24,25 **56:**3, 20 57:18.22 67:15 79:8.10 collected [2] 36:25 62:24 colloquy [3] 5:25 11:19 12:12 column [2] 27:7,15 come [10] 5:10 6:23 11:25 15:7 32: 11 **41**:23 **48**:25 **68**:12 **76**:7 **78**:3 comes [1] 65:8 coming [3] 46:12 49:6 52:20 commence [1] 50:17 commenced [7] 51:4,7,8,10,12,17 53.4 commencement [1] 50:20 comments [1] 78:12 committee [1] 44:20 community [1] 46:7 company [4] 6:13 13:3,20 34:4 compensate [1] 83:4 complains [1] 39:18 complaint [5] 43:25 62:8 73:21 74: 8 79:14 complete [10] 20:2 41:2 58:3 63: 10,13 64:22 70:19,20 83:21 85:16 completed [3] 51:12,17 59:13 complex [1] 87:12 complied [1] 43:25 comply [3] 19:21 20:3,4 compulsory [1] 79:16 concept [1] 19:15 concern [1] 61:11 concerned [3] 25:9 44:6 56:21 concerning [3] 29:6 75:4,13 conclude [2] 32:15 43:17 concluding [1] 53:1 conclusion [4] 56:10 58:11 59:12 82:1 conducted [1] 11:15 conducting [1] 87:2 confirm [3] 28:12 42:21 87:17 confirmation [4] 40:18 41:4 42:7 71:9 confirmed [8] 8:24 20:19 25:7,10 41:19 43:10.20 84:20 confusing [1] 17:22 connection [9] 3:21 10:25 15:25 19:12 23:4 24:20 70:13 74:13.16 consent [10] 3:24 16:6 17:1,12 25: 8 **42:**22,24 **43:**1 **50:**24 **52:**13 consents [2] 43:3,4 consider [2] 40:14 76:14 constitute [3] 8:13 40:21 62:23 constitutes [4] 4:1 11:11 16:1 25: 3 contain [1] 78:20 contained [1] 77:6 contains [1] 3:16 contend [1] 54:20 contending [1] 54:17 contends [3] 14:8 23:21 54:15 contention [1] 54:18 contested [1] 25:7 context [4] 59:17,19 61:24 70:16

continuance [1] 72:25 continue [8] 5:18 10:16.18 20:16 30:17,18 52:23 73:3 continued [2] 31:21 72:14 continues [1] 23:15 continuing [6] 17:14 18:7 20:12 35:18 36:2 47:24 contractual [3] 41:3 83:6 89:5 contribution [1] 48:20 control [2] 13:4 81:5 conveniently [1] 15:14 conversation [1] 31:18 conversations [2] 41:5 42:24 conversion [5] 3:8 9:20,23 25:3 32:22 convert [22] 1:9 2:19,20 3:4,10 4: 24 8:1.5.14.21 19:17 20:6 29:3.16 42:1,25 43:7,18 50:13 55:16 59:17 81:25 converted [1] 32:21 converting [1] 28:23 copies [2] 13:12 72:9 correct [22] 9:15 17:3,4,5 21:16 22: 24 36:7,9 38:17,25 40:24 57:24 58: 20 **59**:8 **60**:1,2 **61**:2 **66**:24 **68**:10 **77:**21.23 **79:**18 corrected [3] 29:24 30:1 34:10 correctly [1] 84:16 costs [7] 13:6,11 19:8,11 22:21 28: 3 69.2 couldn't [2] 6:20 41:17 counsel [24] 28:8 29:20 31:19 34: 21 41:6.8 43:3 45:7 46:23 47:8.21. 25 48:4,22 51:19 58:16 66:11 72:2, 5,6 73:23 76:8 85:23,23 counsel's [1] 80:11 counter [2] 72:1 77:24 counterclaim [1] 55:13 counterclaims [4] 63:7 74:15 79: 16.23 county [3] 66:17 68:15 69:1 couple [8] 3:20 20:9 27:17 31:22 45:10 47:20 72:7 83:1 course [5] 14:11 32:17.19 45:20 60:23 court [387] 1:29 2:13,15,23 3:1,7,9,

12,24 4:2,22 5:2,12,16,21 6:1,3,16, 23 7:2,7,9,15,21,23 8:1,5,9,14,16, 18,19 **9**:6,9,14,18,22 **10**:4,14,16, 21 11:18,25 12:13 14:2,6,12 15:5, 6,8,10,13,21,25 **16:**6,9,11,12,13, 15,17,24,25 **17:**2,4,7,12,19,22 **18:** 3,9,12,14,18,22,25 **19:**3,21,21,24 **20**:1,3,15,18,21 **21**:3,6,14,17,22 **22**:3,5,14 **25**:9 **26**:4,9,14,19 **27**:2 **28**:11,22 **29**:1,2,5,7 **30**:1,4,8,19,23 **31**:5,20 **32**:1,6,13 **33**:14,18,24 **34**: 2,6,11,15,22,25 35:4,9,16,20 36:1, 6,9,15,17,20 **37**:10,16,19,22 **38**:1, 3,13,17,20,23 **39**:6,9 **40**:11,25 **41**: 10,14,17,21,24 **42**:11,15,21,25 **43**: 3,6,11,16 **44**:6,11,12,19,24 **45**:4,

25 46:10,16,23 47:2,15,18 48:10 **49**:6,18,21,23 **50**:4,7,15,17,21 **51**: 2,8,14,18,21,23 **52:**5,11,18,22,25 **53**:2,9,14,17,22 **54**:5,7,12,13,13, 14,17,21 55:1,7,12,16,19,24 56:8, 12,16,17,25 **57:**2,8,9,13,16,19,21, 25 **58:**3,4,7,9,12,13,14,16,19,24, 25 59:2,10,13,22 60:6,13,20 61:8, 11,14,15,17,23 **62:**1,2,7,14,20 **63:** 2,5,11,13,20,23,24 **64:**2,4,8,10,23 **65**:1,4,8,14,17,20,22,25 **66**:2,10, 14,24 67:2,6,16,18,21,24 68:1,5,8, 18,22 69:4,7,11,15,19,19 70:3,6,8, 9,20 **71**:1,7,10 **72**:13,13,17,19,24 **73**:1,5,9,10,14,18,19,22 **74**:1,2,6, 17,20 **75**:1,3,8,11,24 **76**:4,8,18,21 **77**:1,8,11,14,15,16 **78**:4,8,12,17 **79:**2,3,7 **80:**1,5,9,15,16,20 **81:**1,4, 9,10,12,15,16,19,20,22 82:8,11,16, 22,25 83:18,19,21,25 84:6,14,21, 24 85:7,14,25 86:9,12,25 87:11,16 88:18 89:4,10,14 90:1 court's [4] 4:18 10:24 14:16 24:23 cover [1] 40:1 cpir [1] 62:8 craig [1] 1:10 credible [1] 15:20 credit [5] 11:17,20,21 12:5 28:20 creditor [11] 31:14,15,17,25 32:2 41:1,1 46:3 82:23 84:9 89:5 creditors [15] 3:9 9:23 16:9 21:1. 15 23:7,10 24:5,8,9,16,17 32:25 60:12 65:13 creditors' [1] 44:20 cross [1] 74:24 culled [1] 6:8 **cumulative** [2] **18**:23,25 cumulatively [1] 35:21 cure [8] 21:7 25:11 83:3,7,8,13 85: 3 88:21 cured [6] 9:5 33:3,7 42:5 46:5 47:3 curing [1] 42:18 currently [1] 31:7 curtin [5] 1:25 2:7.8 52:9.13

damages [3] 31:16 83:5,10
daniel [2] 1:13 2:9
danzig [2] 1:20 2:5
date [17] 16:22 17:7 18:15 21:21
28:9 30:4 44:11 50:22 51:15,25
53:4 82:14,18 83:15 85:9 87:23
89:3
dated [1] 90:5
dates [2] 15:19 52:1
daughter [1] 12:12
day [19] 8:18 24:9 28:8,9 48:13 49:
11,24 50:1,5 51:9 52:2,24 53:5 54:
15 68:24 78:22 80:7 88:8 89:8
days [11] 31:7,8 50:18,20,22 51:5,9
16,24 53:4 88:20

D

cut [1] 57:3

cuts [1] 70:21

deadline [1] 78:2 deal [3] 32:10 70:16 71:21 dealt [1] 59:18 debating [1] 87:15 debt [2] 5:8 25:17 debtor [168] 1:7,13 2:10,12 4:5,11, 16,19,20 **5**:10,23,25 **6**:21 **7**:9,16, 18,19 **8**:20,21,22 **9**:3,10,13,25 **10**: 4,7,9,12,21,22,23 **11:**1,4,6,9,12,16 **12**:11,13,17 **13**:8,9,17 **14**:8,17,19 **15**:1,2,7,23 **16**:6,14,17,17,20,21, 24 **17**:8,10 **19**:22,23 **20**:2,2,3,13, 16,22,24 **21:**19 **22:**7,8 **23:**6,10,13, 14,19,20,21 **24**:6,18,21 **25**:16,18, 20 **26**:15 **27**:1,4,6,14,21,23 **28**:1, 12,15 29:1,6,10,10,17,24 30:5,16 **31**:13,21 **33**:21 **34**:3,18,19 **35**:1,1, 18 **36**:10 **38**:11 **39**:21,24,25 **40**:6 **43**:23 **44**:6,19 **45**:2,10,19 **47**:21,24 **48**:9,10,12,13,14,15,21,24,25 **54**:4, 14,17,19,23 55:10,13,15,16 56:24 57:15 60:10 61:21 62:23 63:3,7 **64**:12 **65**:6,6,10 **67**:14 **72**:9,23 **73**: 2 74:15 76:16 78:23 79:21,22,23 **80:**8 **82:**11 **83:**18,20 debtor's [39] 3:22,23 4:9 7:18 9:14 **12**:11 **13**:4,21 **14**:20,23 **15**:5 **16**:12 **19**:5,6,7,17,19,20 **20**:21 **22**:19 **23**: 1,6 **24**:7,12 **25**:6,25 **26**:22 **27**:10, 15 **28**:8 **29**:9 **30**:15 **44**:15 **54**:18 59:1 61:9.24 69:23 76:16 debtor-in [2] 20:16 44:20 debtor-in-possession [2] 4:17 **73**:3 debtors [1] 64:14 debts [3] 4:7 6:1 9:11 december [2] 75:20 76:6 decide [6] 49:9 50:19 57:8 59:16 **70**:14 **84**:18 decided [15] 30:17 31:9 50:13,21 **51**:3,9,24 **53**:3 **55**:18 **56**:6 **57**:10 74:6,22 79:24,25 deciding [1] 74:21 decision [9] 31:23 54:7 55:12 57: 22 65:25 66:6 71:25 76:6,17 declaration [7] 15:25 26:19,21,21 27:2 48:10 67:13 declarations [2] 77:5 78:19 deducted [1] 38:7 deemed [1] 44:1 default [7] 23:17 83:3,7,9,11,13 85: defaulted [1] 23:14 defaults [1] 22:19 defend [1] 72:25 defendants [2] 75:4,12 defenses [1] 79:23 deficiency [1] 23:23 defines [1] 83:2 definitely [2] 5:14 6:4 definitions [1] 21:2 delay [2] 81:5,6

deposition [2] 14:24 24:1 donation [1] 35:2 estimation [1] 72:11 facility [1] 13:8 depreciation [7] 36:12,13,15,17, done [14] 8:12.21 43:23 44:3.11 45: **estoppel** [8] **55**:22,25 **56**:3,20 **57**: fact [15] 8:12 20:1 29:9 54:3 57:9 61:3 63:17 70:24 71:20 72:5 74:6 19 48:1,2 16 58:14 62:1 68:23 73:22 74:3 18,23 79:8,10 describe [2] 84:17,25 **78:**3 **80:**5 **81:**2 **75**:15 **79**:9 **86**:14 **88**:21 et [5] 28:20,20 29:13 69:2 77:5 describes [1] 87:5 door [1] 31:11 evaluating [1] 61:24 factor [7] 8:15 63:9 64:21 65:5,8 describing [1] 85:1 down [7] 5:17 27:5,7,20 31:15 70: even [24] 17:2 18:21 19:10,14 21: 83:8 89:1 description [2] 40:19 47:13 17 **85**:24 10 25:12,16,19 27:20 28:7 29:5,12 factors [6] 61:20 62:3 64:23 67:5 despite [1] 47:8 drafted [2] 25:9 84:15 **34**:11 **39**:7 **55**:25 **57**:19.25 **60**:23 77:12.14 determination [4] 31:8 53:20 59: facts [2] 66:9 86:18 due [3] 42:10,20 64:8 **68**:10 **70**:1 **84**:11 **85**:9,10 **88**:21 15 **73**:19 failed [2] 4:17 20:4 during [21] 4:16 6:10 11:14 12:21 event [2] 9:12 45:17 determinations [1] 66:9 **13**:5 **14**:11,24 **16**:20 **17**:1 **24**:13 everybody [2] 24:17,18 determine [5] 32:19 44:15 74:3,9 **28**:15 **31**:6 **32**:17,19 **35**:15 **55**:11 everything [6] 42:10,20 43:24 44: **62:**24,24,25 **72:**13,13 3 47:3 57:9 determined [3] 62:18 74:17 79:8 duty [2] 46:2 73:13 evidence [1] 5:21 determines [1] 8:18 evidentiary [8] 5:17 15:21 17:18 Ε develop [2] 30:18 32:2 18:4 19:15,15 49:7 72:23 each [2] 26:25 47:14 developed [1] 31:2 exact [1] 5:13 earlier [2] 2:24 82:6 difference [2] 36:19 59:20 exactly [5] 14:14 22:23 23:11 25: early [2] 51:14 89:3 different [8] 3:18 5:19 6:8 9:8 20:7 14 **27:**9 earns [1] 12:14 21:2 71:11,11 exam [1] 34:16 east [1] 1:27 diminishment [1] 47:25 examination [12] 4:8 11:14 12:22 economy [2] 58:2 62:4 diminution [2] 17:14 18:7 13:5 14:21 24:1,14 28:7 34:20 44: effect [10] 15:22 35:7 53:24 56:18 dip [6] 4:21 31:17 33:12 34:13 45: 18 45:7 55:11 **60**:23 **69**:18,24 **79**:8,10 **87**:22 21 75:25 example [14] 3:18 4:4 6:2,12 9:9 effective [2] 83:14 87:23 dip's [1] 75:25 **12**:6,6 **16**:5 **17**:13 **31**:24 **35**:17 **45**: effort [2] 45:22 88:8 direct [1] 49:12 6.23 60:10 efforts [1] 30:5 directed [9] 20:1 35:19 46:13 47:7, examples [18] 3:17,18 4:2 5:5,6,6, eight [3] 6:7 36:11.17 15,19 **6**:8,9 **7**:5 **9**:8 **10**:11,20 **15**:9 10 54:10 66:7 68:20 80:3 either [4] 10:8,13 23:14 73:5 directing [2] 52:6 66:10 **16:5 20:8 28:5** election [1] 53:8 directly [1] 12:9 except [7] 24:18 29:21,21 41:8 58: 2 **53**:4 electronic [2] 1:33 90:2 directs [1] 46:22 22 59:20 69:12 enables [1] 44:4 disagree [1] 54:18 excess [6] 6:12 12:15,19 22:21 23: encumbered [1] 60:11 disagrees [3] 2:18,21 76:17 20 29:11 end [9] 4:1 8:18 16:3,19 24:8 38:24 disappear [1] 48:16 excludes [1] 62:10 **51:1 78:22 83:7** disappeared [2] 29:12 48:19 exclusive [1] 7:6 engaged [1] 73:4 disarray [1] 4:3 excuse [1] 62:14 enter [5] 56:13 66:10 68:12 69:13 disbursements [1] 47:13 exercise [2] 38:5 46:2 disclosure [12] 21:5,12 23:2 26:4, exhausted [2] 88:14 89:14 entered [9] 19:21 53:24 54:12.16 7,11,13 **27**:22 **37**:22 **40**:20 **82**:15 exhibit [3] 47:14 67:12 68:3 **66:**9 **68:**10 **69:**20 **73:**15 **86:**23 85:1 exist [1] 38:8 entering [4] 57:12 66:1,2 69:24 discretion [4] 8:5,14 20:15 43:18 existed [1] 30:14 entertain [1] 32:4 discussed [1] 41:9 exists [5] 8:4 9:4 19:16 28:24 33:2 entire [3] 46:21 52:24 63:16 discussion [4] 17:3 29:22 57:3 88: expect [1] 41:12 16.17 entirely [2] 71:11,11 expectation [1] 35:5 entitled [4] 44:7 86:2 89:7 90:3 dismiss [3] 1:9 7:24 41:25 expense [5] 27:12,15 36:12,14 75: entity [4] 13:2 23:8 36:13 44:7 dismissal [2] 7:25 64:21 entry [3] 54:8 56:15 62:16 dismissed [1] 8:1 expenses [22] 4:12,15 6:25 27:5,7. enumerated [1] 20:8 dispute [5] 24:22,25 63:17 65:4 85: 8,16,20,25 **28**:6,16,19 **33**:12 **37**:1, equals [1] 39:4 12 3,5 40:2,3,9 47:9 48:7,17 **66:**3 escrow [6] 22:11 62:23 63:22 64:5, diversion [1] 8:11 **expertise** [2] **65:**3,3 6,16 docket [8] 54:16 58:23,24 60:18, explaining [1] 89:12 escrows [2] 62:12 63:16 20.24 69:14 80:23 express [6] 12:6 32:16 33:9,24 34: esq [5] 1:13,14,18,19,25 docketed [3] 60:3,4 65:15 2,7 essentially [13] 3:6 5:24 7:12,17 doctrine [2] 6:24 56:7 extent [2] 84:19 85:21 **13**:11 **14**:21 **20**:23 **21**:16 **23**:5,23 document [1] 62:11 extra [1] 10:1 31:8 59:13 74:22 documentation [1] 45:14 extraordinary [1] 46:7 establish [5] 3:8 9:22 10:4.13 47:8 documents [5] 15:3 22:1 26:10 extremely [1] 28:4 established [2] 8:25 43:20 **78**:22 **82**:7 establishes [2] 3:11 9:25 doing [10] 4:13 8:12 33:23 46:4 48: establishing [1] 8:22 face [10] 18:20 20:13,23,25 25:15, 6 **49:**25 **55:**20,21 **80:**8 **89:**10 18 **81:**25 estate [15] 3:9 9:23 17:15 18:7 22: 24 **28**:10 **35**:10 **42**:8 **87**:18 dollar [1] 36:11 9 29:4 31:3 32:25 33:9 35:18 36:3. faced [1] 6:22 dollars [2] 6:5 12:7 13 46:9 47:25 60:12 facilitate [1] 59:15

fails [1] 16:6 failure [4] 3:23 19:20,20 64:5 fair [1] 85:19 fairly [1] 85:22 faith [2] 24:24 32:10 familiar [1] 67:1 family [1] 12:25 far [3] 17:7 56:20 79:8 faster [1] 78:9 favor [4] 64:21 67:5 79:25 84:12 feasible [1] 31:3 february [8] 16:19 26:23,24 27:14, 25 72:7,15 75:22 feel [2] 51:8 54:2 fees [3] 19:8,12 22:21 feldman [5] 56:6,8,21 57:17,22 few [2] 24:7 27:3 fiduciary [1] 46:2 fifteen [7] 50:19,22 51:9,16,24 52: fifth [1] 65:5 fiaht [1] 84:10 figure [4] 17:3,5 22:16,25 figures [5] 77:6,7 78:20,20,24 file [10] 20:2 25:1 41:14 49:12 52:6 54:22 76:3,13 84:22 85:11 filed [40] 2:19,20,24 3:21 4:23 15: 25 **16**:17 **17**:25 **18**:6 **20**:22 **22**:19 **24**:21 **26**:18 **27**:2 **28**:1 **38**:22 **48**: 10,13,14 **51**:5,5,6 **54**:16,22 **55**:10, 13 **56**:1 **58**:17 **67**:14 **71**:16 **73**:16 **75**:16,17,17 **77**:25 **80**:8,8 **83**:18 **87**: filing [9] 3:23 4:6 6:14 20:6 24:24 33:13 50:18 60:15 78:4 final [2] 56:9 70:25 finalize [1] 60:16 finally [5] 19:18 56:6 57:10 59:13 financed [1] 14:9 find [3] 45:23 67:11 88:16 finding [2] 76:21 77:2 finds [1] 86:8 fine [1] 2:23 finish [4] 32:3 53:3,5 74:24 firm [1] 2:10 first [18] 2:22 3:18 4:16,20 11:3 26: 15 **27:**3 **28:**15 **30:**14 **31:**7 **41:**13 **51**:14 **55**:10 **63**:9 **64**:20 **72**:8 **77**: five [5] 3:18 9:8 17:5 24:8.16 fix [7] 59:24 66:3,3 69:11 80:1,2,4

fixed [3] 9:6 62:16 70:15 fixes [1] 56:1 fixing [1] 58:12 flash [2] 14:18,18 flow [6] 18:16 37:15,16,25 39:23 **40:**5 focusing [1] 29:7 follow [1] 48:5 following [1] 33:13 forced [2] 72:24 84:5 foregoing [1] 90:1 forever [1] 79:4 forget [2] 16:22 37:14 forgotten [1] 77:14 form [7] 20:24 21:1 40:17 53:12,14 83:25 88:25 formally [1] 54:16 forth [9] 3:3 4:4 24:3,6 26:21,23 54 19 **58:**2 **67:**5 forward [14] 27:18 29:17 38:8,9 39 16,21,24 40:6,15,23 44:5 47:13 88 6,13 found [2] 21:6 87:12 four [7] 3:18 9:8 11:4,8 23:9 24:8,

frame [4] **50**:15,24 **51**:2 **87**:22 frames [4] **8**:25 **43**:20 **50**:12,14 framework [2] **88**:1,10 friday [1] **50**:5

friend [5] 14:25 23:25 24:14 34:17,

friends [1] 24:2 front [2] 26:2 65:25 fruition [1] 32:12 fulfill [1] 68:18 full [2] 47:12 49:24 fully [7] 56:5 57:10 62

fully [7] **56**:5 **57**:10 **62**:6 **74**:17 **77**:6 **79**:25 **85**:21

fund [2] 37:15,25

funds [4] 8:11 15:6 21:7 46:20 further [6] 14:22 27:20 28:9 39:17 46:22 67:7

fuzzy [1] 48:5

## G

gabor [50] 1:14,15 2:10,11,11,11
35:11,13,25 36:4,7,10,16,18,22 37:
12,18,20 38:2,4,15,18,25 39:8,11
40:24 41:5,12,16,20,22 42:9,14,19,
23 43:2,8,14,23 44:9,17,22 45:2
50:1,9 51:12,16 82:12 83:16 86:7
gauge [1] 39:21
gentleman [1] 24:11
george [1] 48:5
getting [8] 6:21 14:10,13 18:21 19:
14 27:1 32:6 42:20
give [18] 2:13 8:14 14:17 23:21 41:
21,24 43:11 47:21 48:25 49:10,10,
23 50:4,5 60:12 65:18 71:25 85:2
given [5] 5:15 44:12 54:3 57:8 77:

got [5] 24:1 25:23 28:7 58:9 63:5 gotten [3] 10:12 64:18 79:1 grandmother [1] 39:9 granted [4] 9:19,21,24 74:9 granting [1] 9:2 gross [19] 3:19,25 5:6,20 8:10 9:8 10:19 11:11 15:9,22 16:1 20:10 30:9,25 31:4,11 32:15,20 42:2 grounds [1] 9:2 group [2] 1:5 2:2 guarantor [1] 61:10 guess [15] 3:3 11:9 13:24 22:12 23: 17 33:3 34:15 38:22 57:19 66:3 70:17 75:6 77:17 79:16 81:13

#### Н

quidelines [1] 7:11

hand [2] 39:19,20 handled [3] 70:13 80:13 81:18 handling [2] 66:25 78:5 happen [1] 44:10 happened [1] 24:20 happening [1] 7:1 happens [1] 66:18 happy [1] 24:18 hard [2] 9:18 48:5 hardin [1] 72:6 harmful [2] 16:8 17:10 harms [1] 72:22 he'll [2] 35:13 78:25 hear [10] 35:13 46:23 47:15,18 51: 24,25 52:1 64:24 81:23 83:22 heard [2] 50:13 57:9 hearing [28] 4:3 5:17,25 10:22 11: 19 **12**:13,18 **13**:23 **15**:21 **16**:12 **17**: 18 **18**:4 **19**:15,15 **40**:13 **43**:12,14 **49**:7 **50**:17,20 **51**:4,17 **52**:15 **53**:4 **61**:14 **72**:23 **82**:14 **87**:2 hearings [2] 3:20 71:9 heavily [1] 25:7 help [1] 15:18 helpful [1] 71:22 himself [4] 34:22 46:16,19,21 hold [1] 79:3 holder [1] 83:4 holding [1] 72:23 honest [1] 66:5 honor [219] 2:4,7,9,12,16,16,18,21, 25 **3**:2,16,19 **4**:4,4 **5**:1,13,18,23 **6**: 5,7,18 **7**:5,5,8,15 **8**:8 **9**:7 **10**:11,18 **12**:1,3,7,10,15 **14**:14,15,15 **15**:3,9, 22 **16:**3,16,16 **17:**5,9,13,16,17,21 **18**:5,11 **19**:5,14,18 **20**:3,7,12,20, 22 **21**:9,16,25 **22**:11,17,17 **23**:1,3, 5,6 **24:**4,9,11,20,24 **25:**2,5,5,14,16, 20,22,23,24 **26**:1,2,15,18 **27**:7,13, 21,25 **28**:5,10,14,21,24 **29**:14,15, 16,19,19,20,24 **30:**3,13 **33:**20 **35:** 19,25 **36**:4,22 **38**:5,19,21 **39**:8 **40**: 24 41:5,12,16,20 42:9 43:5,23 44:

9,18,22 45:3,6 46:13,18,21 47:6,7,

10,20 48:8,18 49:3,4,4,5,15,16 50:

2,6,10,16,24 **51:**13,22 **52:**3,9,13,

21,24 **53**:13,18 **54**:2,9 **55**:6,9,23 **56**:4,11,15,23 **57**:7 **58**:6,8,15 **59**:8 **61**:3,4 **62**:19 **65**:11 **66**:16,25 **67**:4, 13,20,23 **68**:3 **69**:10,12 **72**:23 **73**:7 **74**:12,20,23 **75**:9,23 **76**:15,20,24 **77**:4,12 **78**:2,7,10,14,15 **79**:5,19 **80**:6,11 **81**:3,7 **82**:4,5,6,9,12,13 **83**:22 **84**:18 **86**:7,20 **87**:13 **88**:17 **89**: 17 honor's [1] **22**:24 honorable [1] 1:10 hope [2] **42**:7 **88**:12 hoping [1] **42**:23 hundred [1] **34**:3 husband [1] **12**:22 hyland [2] 1:20 **2**:5

i"m [2] 52:20 73:9 idea [3] 11:5 12:17 25:11 identified [16] 3:7,17 6:7 9:7,21 10: 23 12:3,21 13:14,15,24 17:1 19:11 18,25 30:2 identifies [2] 8:20 16:4 identify [3] 3:19 12:1 32:24 ike [1] 13:21 ill [1] 7:19 immediately [1] 6:14 impact [2] 72:21 75:25 impaired [13] 23:2 83:3 84:11,12 **86**:8,9,10 **87**:5,15,20 **88**:2,2,2 impairment [1] 83:2 important [7] 2:18 33:5 53:23 54: 3 **61:**23 **66:**15 **85:**14 importantly [1] 25:8 improper [11] 8:11 12:18 28:18,25 **29**:8,18,19 **39**:19,23 **44**:2 **45**:18 improperly [2] 16:25 33:6 improvements [1] 32:9 inaudible [1] 9:3 inclined [2] 75:23 76:2 include [1] 75:21 included [3] 38:7 63:16 64:5 includes [2] 8:10 36:11 including [2] 22:21 35:21 income [4] 26:17 37:2.4.5 incomplete [1] 19:24 incomprehensible [1] 21:6 inconsistent [3] 25:25 27:19 28:4 incorrectly [1] 68:14 increase [1] 39:7 increased [1] 28:16 incredibility [1] 15:23 incurred [2] 83:5,11 indicated [3] 14:19 71:24 82:6 indicative [3] 37:13 39:16 40:5 indicia [1] 19:19 information [2] 20:4 74:13 initial [1] 6:6 initially [1] 13:5 initio [1] 68:24 insiders [2] 32:18 44:15

instead [9] 15:1,14 66:8,12 68:15,

19 **80:**2 **81:**2 **87:**7 institute [1] 20:15 instructions [1] 45:4 instrument [4] 62:9 64:7 74:7 79: instruments [2] 75:5.14 insurance [6] 6:13,16,19,20,23 65: insurer [4] 6:18,22 65:6,7 intend [1] 52:23 intent [1] 10:3 interceded [1] 30:16 interest [16] 3:9 9:23 32:25 40:22 66:22 69:2 71:18 72:10 82:24 83: 2,5,8 84:20 88:6,25 89:2 interesting [3] 25:21 48:8,17 interests [1] 34:7 interlineate [1] 61:4 investigate [1] 44:13 investigated [2] 29:4,18 invoices [1] 6:15 isn't [9] 30:25 38:24 40:17 41:10 43:6 57:4 58:10 61:1 79:12 issue [42] 5:8 6:20 17:17 18:21 23: 16 **25**:17 **34**:12 **35**:12 **37**:14 **45**:18 **53**:18 **56**:5,25 **57**:2,4,4,6,8,17 **61**: 13,16 **63**:14,25 **64**:3 **70**:15 **71**:2,2, 4,6,11,11,15,21,22 74:21,22 76:16 **79**:14,17 **81**:19,20 **88**:4 issued [1] 76:9 issues [14] 29:22 35:12 55:17 57:1. 11,16 **59**:16 **63**:10,23 **70**:18,22 **72**: 20 74:18 76:5 item [3] 27:22 36:12 47:14 items [4] 27:5,12,14 42:6 itself [4] 3:25 16:1 18:19 21:10

J

january [19] 3:23 16:17 17:1 36:23, 23,25,25 37:6 39:3 47:9,13 48:7,8, 13 **66:**19 **68:**7,21 **73:**15 **76:**5 jersey [2] 1:23 52:21 john [1] 12:23 joint [5] 49:13 52:6 53:10,11 82:5 ioseph [2] 1:18 2:4 iudae [17] 1:11 59:20 65:25 66:1.8. 19 **68:**5.9 **72:**7 **73:**1.10.15.19 **74:**6 **75:**8 **76:**4,14 judge's [1] 67:10 judgment [52] 24:23 53:24 54:8, 10,11,22 **55**:25 **56**:13,16,21 **57**:12 **58**:23,24 **59**:6 **60**:3,4,7,15,19,24, 25 **62**:8,17 **65**:15 **66**:1,2,11,12,18, 20 **68**:1,2,6,9,11,17,20,21 **69**:14, 14,20,24 **70**:11 **73**:1,21 **74**:8,14 **79**: 10,14,24 **80:**22 **86:**23 iudicial [2] 58:2 62:4 juncture [1] 61:21 june [18] 49:10,11,14,15 50:4,5,6,8, 9 **51:**1,11 **61:**15 **72:**24 **81:**17,21,23 82:13 89:15 jurisdiction [1] 64:24 justice [14] 68:19 70:11,13 71:23

TypeWrite Word Processing Service (518) 581-8973 Fax (518) 207-1901 Order Online at: www.typewp.com

gives [1] 71:17

giving [2] 5:5 69:24

72:17,19 74:22 75:11 76:18 77:16, 19 **78**:6 **79**:12 **80**:12 justifiable [1] 34:8 justification [8] 9:5,12 33:2,8,10,

21 **42:**3,4

## K

keep [4] 6:16 53:3,5 76:1 kevin [4] 1:19 2:5 4:9 13:4 kind [3] 8:10 64:15 66:5 kindly [1] 66:17 kinds [1] 8:13 knowledge [1] 81:13 knows [4] 47:6 80:11,12 81:19

landlord [1] 65:4 larger [1] 18:1 larner [2] 1:19 2:5 last [29] 3:20 4:3,8 5:25 10:21 11: 15,19 **12**:12,18 **13**:23 **14**:19 **16**:11 19:18 20:23 24:11 26:7,9,12 27:17 29:23 34:11 38:22 44:11 58:16 71: 24 72:4,6 76:15 86:22 late [1] 28:7 later [3] 28:8 50:18,19 law [7] 2:10 24:24 25:15 28:25 55: 20 66:9 70:1 lawsuit [1] 22:20 lawyer [1] 38:20 leader [1] 46:8 lease [14] 10:22,24 11:7,7 30:6,7, 10,14,17 **31**:10,14,22 **32**:1 **65**:4 leases [2] 31:6 71:17 least [3] 6:7 85:16 88:10 leave [1] **76:**3

leaves [1] 39:14 ledger [1] 47:12 left 3 57:11 65:24 74:18 leg [1] 60:12

lender [1] 55:14 less [3] 27:2 29:11 50:22 letters [1] 76:8 liability [1] 55:14

lien [11] 14:3 58:25 59:6,6 60:14,25, 25 65:18 69:23,25 70:2 lieu [4] 62:8 73:21 74:8 79:14

life [1] 88:19

lift [21] 54:21 55:3 56:13 57:3,15 **58:**23 **59:**3,5,11 **60:**6,7,13,15,21

**61:**20 **65:**22 **70:**10 **72:**22 **74:**2,5 77:18

lifted [10] 57:5 60:22,24 61:5,25 69: 8,18,22 **70:**3 **76:**12

lifting [2] 55:7 61:18 light [1] 76:7

likelihood 5 8:24 10:5 17:15 20: 19 **43:**19

limited [1] 62:9

line [8] 13:18 27:5,14,22 74:23,24 **79:1 80:1**0

liquidate [7] 54:10 59:9 61:6 62:6

**73:**22 **74:**1 **78:**23

liquidated [4] 54:6 61:25 63:6 68:

liquidating [1] 58:3 liquidation [6] 54:3 58:19 59:12

60:16,17 74:19 list [4] 7:2,6 24:11 33:11 listed [2] 48:2 84:11

listen [1] 87:6 lists [1] 82:6 litigate [1] 52:17

litigated [1] 56:9 litigating [1] 88:15

litigation [1] 23:4 litigator [1] 81:18 little [2] 22:14 88:14

IIc [2] 1:15 2:10

llp [1] 1:21

loan [30] 12:16 13:24 14:22,23,25, 25 15:1,2,3,4,8 21:8,18 22:1 23:4 24:15 25:12 34:17,17,18,19,22,23, 25 41:3 42:18 45:11 48:20 62:11 87-12

loans [1] 23:14

long [4] 64:18 80:18 84:12 87:23 longer [1] 33:14

look [17] 3:5,16 8:16 21:11 23:5 24: 19 **25**:23 **27**:13 **29**:1 **39**:23 **44**:14, 22,24 45:19,19,22 87:11

looked [4] 45:21 48:1 76:4 83:25 looking [7] 38:9 44:17,25 45:7 62: 7 64:8 78:21

looks [4] 20:23 24:17 27:7 52:20 lose [1] 81:5

loss [31] 17:23,24 18:7,7,9,13,22, 25 **19:**9 **20:**12 **35:**18,20,24 **36:**1,3, 4,6,7,11,18,19,24 37:2,6,8,13,23 38:7,10 39:20 40:10

losses [4] 17:14,19 18:1 47:24 lot [11] 24:2,3 39:6 70:21 77:13 80: 13 **83:**23 **86:**15,16 **87:**3,8

lots [1] 60:11

love [39] 4:9,10 6:10 11:14,15,19 **12:**8,11,13,14,16,20,22 **13:**3,4,12 14:24 15:1.2.12.23 23:25 24:13.14 26:18.21 28:6.19 29:13 33:22 34:3 16 **39**:19,25 **45**:5,23,23 **48**:21 **55**:

love's [5] 11:24 12:22 27:11 28:20 29.5

lower [1] 54:19 Itd [1] 1:5

ludicrous [2] 23:3 25:12 lumped [2] 21:14 23:7

#### М

made [39] 4:5,11,14 6:14 11:16,24 **12**:11.20 **15**:2 **21**:19 **22**:7 **24**:15 **29**:6 **30**:5 **32**:9,18 **33**:6 **35**:18,22, 23 38:11 39:18 43:25 45:9.22 46: 20 48:21 54:7 62:7 64:5,13 66:9 **72**:9 **73**:19 **74**:16 **75**:7 **76**:21 **77**:2

maintenance [3] 27:23,24 28:3

malpractice [1] 65:9 managing [1] 46:8 mandatory [2] 32:21,22 many [8] 4:2,6,14 5:5 9:9 15:11,13

march [4] 16:19 26:23.24 27:14

maria [3] 12:11.22 27:11 maribella [1] 13:17 maribellax [2] 1:5 2:2

mario [1] 67:13 marked [1] 66:19

marotta [122] 1:13,15 2:9,10,10,11 **29**:20 **30**:3,13,21 **31**:1,13,21 **32**:8 **33**:11,16,20,25 **34**:5,9,19,23 **35**:3, 5,11,17 **45**:6 **46**:5,11,18,24 **47**:5, 15,17 **52**:23 **53**:1,7 **58**:7,8,15,22 59:20 60:3.9.18 61:9.13.19 62:1.5. 22 **63**:4,9,12,15,21,25 **64**:3,20 **65**: 2,11,15,18,21,24 66:5,15 67:8,17, 19 68:14,23 69:5,10,12,15 70:5,7, 8,17,23 **71**:4,8,23 **72**:18,20 **73**:7, 12 74:17,25 75:10,15 78:11,14 80: 21 81:10 82:13,20,24,25 83:16,20, 22 84:2,6,11,18,22 85:6,13,19 86: 7,11,12,20,25 87:10 88:14 89:2,8,

mass [1] 67:9 matching [1] 37:5 material [2] 6:3,6

12.16

math [4] 22:11,24 48:4,5 matter [6] 2:21 25:15 58:11 85:7 86:3 90:3

matters [3] 2:17.19 45:15 maturity [4] 83:4,9 85:9 89:3 mean [5] 8:1 71:21 85:22 86:21 87:

meaningful [2] 31:18 32:11 means [2] 27:9 83:7

meet [6] 9:13 10:8,9 25:16 31:14 **85:**23

meeting [4] 31:15,23,24 32:11 member [1] 12:25 memory [2] 21:24 78:21

mention [2] 61:20 88:22 mentioned [1] 45:8

met [1] 77:15 midst [1] 83:17

might [24] 4:4 7:9 10:21 11:18 12: 10 14:15 16:11,16 19:24 22:17 26: 18 **29:**3 **32:**5 **44:**14 **59:**15 **62:**21 77:14 78:21 80:7 82:16.16 84:13 88:19 89:5

million [4] 22:21,22 23:20 46:9 milton [2] 1:31 67:18 mind [2] 53:25 86:17

minimize [1] 31:16 ministerial [2] 56:16 57:12

minus [1] 39-14 minute [3] 2:13 7:20 75:1 minutes [1] 76:15

misleading [1] 18:1 mismanagement [19] 3:19,25 5:7, 20 8:10 9:8 10:19 11:12 15:10,23 **16**:2 **20**:11 **30**:9,25 **31**:4,12 **32**:15, 20 42:2

modify [1] 69:19 moment [1] 46:10

money [15] 5:9 7:23 8:3 11:12 29: 12 **30**:8 **31**:10 **33**:9 **35**:1 **46**:12 **62**: 10 **64:**7 **74:**7 **79:**15 **85:**3

month [30] 10:23 11:4 14:11 16:18 **18**:12,22 **19**:1 **22**:2,4,5,6 **26**:17 **27**: 1,3,10,16,24,25 **28**:3 **33**:16,17 **36**: 2 **39**:15 **40**:7,8 **46**:6,21 **48**:10,11 **87:**21

monthly [15] 5:24 6:8 15:24 19:5 **21**:20 **22**:8 **23**:11 **25**:25 **27**:13 **28**: 1 **48**:2,23 **63**:16,18 **72**:12

months [16] 4:16.20 7:10 11:4 26: 22,25 27:3,18 28:15 30:19,20 39: 13,13 77:8 87:22,22

morning [1] 49:12

morristown [1] 1:23

mortgage [10] 14:8,8 58:17 60:11 **70:**24 **71:**16 **84:**4 **85:**4,20 **86:**22

mortgagee [1] 13:25 mortgages [1] 62:11

most [6] 2:18 3:4 33:5 48:1 49:11 57:10

motion [47] 1:9 2:19,20 3:4,21 5: 15 **8**:21 **10**:25 **12**:2 **20**:6 **26**:20 **30**: 2,6 35:15 43:13 47:5,11 50:12,13, 17.19.19 **51**:5.23 **52**:9.10.16 **53**:19. 19 **55**:16 **59**:17 **62**:7 **66**:13.16 **67**: 14 **73**:20 **74**:8 **75**:5.14 **76**:3.5.13.

14 79:13 81:16,17,25 motions [1] 83:17 movant [1] 3:10

move [2] 29:17 52:3 moving [2] 6:7 7:3

ms [5] 11:10 12:13,14,16,20 much [12] 5:12 6:3 11:25 18:1,9 36: 15 **38**:9 **63**:21 **66**:6 **72**:11 **81**:19 82-12

must [2] 8:5 10:13

## Ν

name [2] 12:23 24:11 named [2] 11:5 26:9 necessity [1] 6:24

need [12] 5:21 7:24 16:18 30:24 47: 15 **49**:7 **53**:8 **56**:13 **57**:5 **67**:6 **82**: 25 84:19

needs [3] 5:16 15:6 51:3 negative [1] 18:15

neither [2] 10:6 23:15 net [1] 40:5

never [9] 17:1 23:14,16,17 25:19 28:7 29:3.18 63:18

nevertheless [2] 11:11 12:24 new [14] 1:17.17.23.28.32 32:1 52: 21 62:9 66:17 67:1 68:15,25 81:8,

next [3] 35:9 51:25 52:1 night 3 53:8 88:8 89:8

non [2] 36:12 37:19 nonsense [2] 85:16,16 nor [1] 23:16 normal [4] 14:11 21:20 22:7 45:20 northfield [9] 13:23 23:8,11,16 40: 7 **45**:11 **46**:13.19 **47**:7 note [5] 58:20 73:20 82:13 85:4.9 **notes** [7] **62**:7 **64**:8,9 **75**:7,10 **79**: 21 **85:**6 nothing 5 11:6 29:15 56:16 71: 12 80:11 notice [2] 71:25 77:20 notion [1] 32:5 notwithstanding [1] 20:1 november [3] 66:6 67:16 77:9 nowhere [1] 27:12 number [22] 2:17 3:3,23 8:23 13:1 **16**:15 **22**:23 **27**:9 **32**:23 **37**:7 **47**: 23 54:18,19,20,24 59:14 67:21,22, 23.24.25 76:19 numbers [2] 27:19 28:4 numerous [5] 28:25 29:8,8,13 72:

nine [2] 6:8 52:19

#### 0

objected [2] 23:16 84:12 objection [3] 61:7,8 84:13 objections [1] 47:12 objects [2] 8:21 9:25 obligation [5] 10:22 11:24 19:6,7 obligations [2] 17:11 31:11 observe [1] 17:10 obstacle [1] 61:18 obtain [3] 42:17 60:25 69:23 obviously [15] 6:19 21:12 23:1 27: 6 **28**:3,16,18 **43**:1 **44**:24 **50**:24 **54**: 18 **56:**24 **86:**9.10 **88:**2 occur [4] 60:23 65:23 70:2,4 occurred [1] 18:22 offend [1] 87:10 offended [1] 88:12 office [8] 1:24 52:11 66:17.23 68: 16.17.25 69:6 offset [1] 64:15 okay [28] 9:24 10:4,5,14 18:18 19: 3 26:14 35:4 36:7 38:5 47:17 49:6, 11 **50:**21 **51:**2,23 **52:**5 **65:**21 **68:**1 70:6 72:20 81:22 82:8,11 87:1,10 88:11 89:14 omission [3] 9:3,5 33:1 once [1] 48:5 one [39] 1:22 2:13 3:23 7:13 8:23 **10**:2 **12**:6 **13**:25 **14**:8,23 **16**:8,21 23:24 24:10 28:4 29:9,10 30:2,15 32:23 33:1 37:16 39:17.19 41:4 47:23 48:11 53:22 54:18 62:5 72: 8.15 73:10 74:23 77:12 79:1 80:10 **82**:18 **85**:10 one's [1] 77:20

only [28] 6:9 7:11,14 9:7 19:25 24: 7.8 **46**:12.18 **53**:18 **57**:11 **60**:16 **62**: 7,10 **63:**25 **64:**3,7,8 **65:**8,24 **74:**7, 18 79:14,15 84:9 85:2,10,14 operate [2] 20:16 86:13

operated [1] 7:17

operating [31] 4:18 5:24 6:9 7:17 **15:**24 **17:**19,22,23,24,25 **18:**2,6,13, 16,19 **19**:5,9,16 **25**:25 **27**:13 **28**:2 **35**:20 **36**:6,23 **37**:17,24 **38**:13,15

48:2,23 49:1 operation [1] 40:15 operations [1] 37:21

opinion [8] **54**:9,10 **56**:5 **67**:9,10, 16 **75**:11 **76**:19

opportunity [4] 32:2 43:8,12,12 opposed [1] 89:3

opposition [2] 75:5,14 option [1] 31:1

order [33] 14:16 17:12 19:21,21 20: 3 32:20 39:25 40:13 43:17 49:13 **52**:6 **53**:10,11 **54**:11 **61**:4 **66**:7,8, 10 68:19,19,25 69:3 73:15 76:9 77: 19,24,24 **78**:23 **80**:3,4,8 **82**:5 **87**: 16

orders [1] 72:1 original [2] 85:5,9

other [43] 4:2,10 9:3 10:11,20 15: 17 **16**:4,23 **19**:22 **20**:24 **21**:14 **31**: 11 32:18 39:6,20 40:9 49:16,18 53: 18 **55**:14 **56**:16 **59**:1 **60**:10.12 **61**:6 62:16.20.20 64:13.23 65:12.19 69: 22 71:18 72:20 73:12 74:5 77:1 79:17 80:11 83:10 84:5 86:16 others [5] 6:11,15 15:12 20:10 69:

otherwise [2] 34:21 60:15 out [27] 4:3 5:2 7:10 11:8 12:8 14:3 23:3,6 31:11 32:3 46:19 48:7,22, 24,25 49:2,3,6 51:1 70:21 72:2 73: 12,14 74:25 75:19 83:13 88:25 outcome [1] 52:10

outline [1] 9:14 outlined [1] 30:5 outside [3] 27:8.15.16 over [12] 12:19 25:8 27:17 34:13 **62**:2 **77**:11 **81**:5 **82**:21 **83**:8,13 **88**:

overcome [1] 84:13 owed [6] 22:20 23:19 24:10 38:23 79:20.20

owes [2] 62:22 63:2 own [9] 13:3 20:6 33:9,24 34:2,7

**45:**1 **46:**4 **72:**5 owner [1] 34:3 owns [2] 13:7,8

#### Ρ

p.m [1] 2:1 pace [1] 76:1 page [3] 26:8,9,12 pages [1] 6:6 paid [28] 5:12 6:1 7:10,24 8:4 11:4 12:9 14:3.12.14 22:10 30:9 31:6.9 32:8 33:9.12 34:12 36:21 38:24 **40**:1 **48**:17 **62**:25 **64**:16 **75**:16 **82**: 20 83:11,14

painfully [1] 68:16

papers [19] 3:2 6:7 7:3 12:1 18:10, 10 **22**:18 **30**:6 **47**:5,11 **55**:15 **60**:4 **66**:15.16 **67**:5.9.12 **77**:1 **79**:24 paragraph [7] 7:3,4 9:4,18,19,20 **12:**2

paraphrase [1] 3:11

part [5] 22:10 24:4 33:25 57:10 64:

particular [4] 12:15 24:6 65:3 69:

particularly [3] 31:5 32:6 80:19 parties [9] 52:17 54:11 63:12 70: 19 71:5,25 72:21 80:3 85:24 party [3] 24:22,25 83:12 past [2] 8:12 33:23

patently [4] 41:15 85:18 86:19 87:

pause [6] 2:14 9:17 49:22 50:3 52: 8 **75:**2

pay [24] 6:25 16:18,20,21 19:6,8 23: 11 **25**:12 **28**:17 **30**:10,17,19,23 **31**: 10 40:22 41:3,4 45:23 46:16,19 62: 25 82:24 83:13,13

paying [15] 10:22 17:8 23:12 32:15 **33**:22,24 **34**:2,6,6 **40**:7,8,9 **88**:25 89:2.3

payment [27] 5:8 6:13 9:11 11:3. 21 12:18.21.23.24.25 13:21 16:21. 24 **22**:8,9 **23**:11,17 **34**:1 **35**:19 **38**: 11 39:4 62:10 64:7 72:3,6 74:7 79:

payments [66] 4:6,10,11,14 6:11 8: 8 **11:**10,16,17,18,20,23,23 **12:**4,5, 8,10,14,19 **13**:1,6,10,18,23 **14**:10 **16**:14 **19**:25 **21**:20 **22**:1 **23**:15 **25**: 13 **28**:18,19 **29**:6,13 **31**:22 **32**:16, 18 **33**:5 **34**:11 **35**:22 **37**:8,9 **38**:6 **39:**12,18,19,22 **45:**8,22 **46:**20,22 62:12.23 63:16.18 64:4 72:10.12. 14 **75**:4,7,7,10,13,22 peculiar [1] 65:3

pending [2] 52:10 83:17 per [11] 12:14,15 18:12 22:2,4,5,6 27:10,16,24 28:3

percent [1] 34:3 perfect [2] 60:14,25 perfectly [1] 66:5

perhaps [7] 15:11 32:3 70:23 71: 23 78:20 81:11,14

period [16] 9:2,6 31:25 36:8 37:21 39:15 40:7 41:8 43:22 52:2 62:24 **81:**6 **83:**7,14 **88:**16,18

permissible [1] 89:6 permit [7] 60:16,22,25 61:25 69:8,

23 70:4 permits [1] 45:15

permitting [1] 60:14

perretti [2] 1:21 2:6 person [3] 44:4 46:7,8 personal [6] 11:20,21,22,24 12:5

petition [12] 6:2 7:18 12:17 18:15 **24**:21 **28**:19 **48**:14 **54**:15 **56**:2 **68**: 24 73:16 80:7

ph [6] 12:23 13:22 23:9.22 24:13 **67:**13

phone [3] 11:17,22,22 phoney [2] 41:10 83:21 physically [1] 69:6 piggy [1] 7:19

place [4] 6:16 30:14 31:23 55:10 plaintiff [1] 69:1

plan [66] 8:24 10:5 20:19,21,23,24, 25 **21**:1.10.12 **23**:6 **24**:7.12 **25**:6.6. 7,15,21 28:12 29:22 35:10,13 37: 13,14,15,25 40:17 41:6,12,14,17, 18,21,25 42:6,7,9,11,13,21 43:9, 15,16,19 **54**:19 **59**:19 **61**:22,24 **82**: 17 **83:**15,18,21,24 **84:**9,13,15,20 **85**:11,15,16 **87**:16,17,17,25 **88**:19,

planning [1] 85:3 plaza [1] 1:27 pleadings [1] 77:5 please [3] 2:3 39:8 75:1 plethora [1] 24:23 plus [1] 20:3 point [26] 5:2 32:22 39:21 40:12

47:4 49:4.25 54:6 55:7 57:2.10.19 **66**:2.4 **69**:16 **70**:6 **71**:4 **72**:2 **73**:12 **74**:25 **75**:19 **77**:3 **83**:17 **84**:10,19 **87:**16

pointed [3] 4:3 23:3,6 points [3] 35:25 46:25 83:1 portion [1] 48:19 pose [1] 61:18

position [6] 23:24 52:12,15 56:15, 19 **58:**5

possession [2] 20:17 44:21 possibly [1] 29:17 post [5] 6:1 8:10 12:16 28:18 56:1 post-judgment [1] 62:21

post-petition [22] 4:6,12,15 5:9 7: 9 8:11 9:11 11:16 13:1 23:13 28:1 29:7 30:9,10,20,23 31:22 33:10 48:

17 56:2,22 73:2 postpone [1] 87:24 potential [1] 44:13 potentially [1] 65:12

practice [4] 68:15 79:3,6 81:8 pre [1] 7:17

pre-petition [16] 4:7,11,15 5:8 6:1, 15,25 9:11 22:20 23:12 28:19 29:6, 8 **36:**25 **44:**15 **56:**22 preclude [3] 54:23 55:3,20 precludes [1] 55:19

prejudice [1] 65:12 premature [1] 61:20

prepared [5] 52:13,18 67:8 73:9

TypeWrite Word Processing Service (518) 581-8973 Fax (518) 207-1901 Order Online at: www.typewp.com

ongoing [2] 23:12,13

online [1] 67:9

**87:**13 preparing [1] 83:23 present [7] 8:6,15,15 25:18 46:17 presented [2] 13:12 32:1 pressure [1] 46:3 pretrial [5] 49:13 52:6 53:10,11 82: prevent [2] 32:20 80:8 prevents [2] 56:7 70:2 previous [1] 13:18 principal [9] 4:9 7:18 13:4 14:20 **27:**11 **33:**8 **39:**25 **45:**3 **61:**9 principal's [1] 12:12 principals [3] 14:24 32:16 34:13 principle [2] 40:22 55:20 principles [1] 58:1 prior [1] 72:5 priority [1] 35:7 probably [2] 6:12 22:22 probed [1] 28:6 problem [2] 66:7 86:12 problems [6] 4:23,24 5:3,4 30:1 72.7 procedure [1] 80:25 proceeded [1] 59:12 proceeding [7] 59:14 65:25 72:13, 14 74:14,20 87:3 proceedings [11] 1:33 2:1,14 9:17 49:22 50:3 52:8 73:17 75:2 76:1 proceeds [2] 45:11.12 process [8] 58:3,14 59:11 66:11 80:17,18 81:5,8 processing [1] 1:30 produced [1] 1:34 professional [2] 19:8,12 profit [10] 37:17,24,25 38:9 39:4,7, 15 40:5,9 49:1 prohibit [4] 3:21 7:16 10:25 67:14 projected [1] 27:18 projections [11] 25:21,22,24,24 **26:**2 **27:**6,21 **28:**10,13,14 **48:**9 proof [9] 10:8,10 70:11 77:25 78:5 79:19,20 84:2,22 proper [3] 25:1,1 37:4 properly [3] 37:1 64:16 71:16 properties [3] 14:1,9 30:15 property [21] 6:17 11:2 13:7,8 15: 6 23:20,22 30:11,18,20,24,24 31:2, 2 32:3,9 59:6 60:10,14 69:23,25 propose [5] 72:1 85:25 86:1 87:23 88:21 proposed [17] 32:1 37:13 41:8 42: 6 61:21 66:12 68:2,17,20 77:23 83 18 **85**:22 **87**:19,25,25 **88**:20 **89**:12 proposes [4] 23:10,21 42:9,11 proposing [3] 42:15 86:3 88:23 prosecute [1] 44:13 protection [7] 16:14 19:7 25:13 **26**:16 **38**:11 **39**:12 **40**:8 prove [4] 18:20 66:23 68:8 80:24

provide [8] 20:4,25 47:9 63:10 64: 6,22 70:18 72:8 provided [3] 9:19,20 19:24 provides [5] 21:3 31:6 63:11,13 70:20 provisions [1] 41:22 public [1] 45:15 purchase [1] 31:1 purpose [6] 55:9 59:3,5 60:8,13 65:23 purposes [4] 8:11 17:3 61:6 69:20 pursuant [3] 14:16 22:10 80:4 pursuing [1] 66:21 put [9] 5:21 43:9 51:10 60:4 66:12, 16 84:9 86:1,16

question [20] 3:13,14,15 17:24 25: 5 28:24 31:20 35:9 48:18 53:22 58:8,10 64:11 67:2,3 71:15 73:23, 25 75:6,6 questioned [5] 6:10 11:15 14:20, 22 15:12 questions [7] 15:13,14,16,18 53: 11,17 64:10 quick [1] 47:22 quickly [1] 13:17 quite [2] 32:8 52:16

## R

raise [1] 35:14

raised [5] 23:16 29:23 34:12 46:25 **75:**8 raising [1] 85:3 rather [1] 47:12 rational [1] 87:6 re [1] 1:3 read [2] 79:23 87:5 reader [1] 37:22 readily [1] 4:10 ready [1] 80:10 real [5] 13:8 22:9 36:12 46:9 76:16 really [10] 17:16 29:21 46:24 62:5 66:21 70:17 73:22 84:23 85:14 86: reargue [2] 76:3,14 reason [14] 7:14 11:6,13 25:1 28: 17 30:13 32:5 53:25 58:24 59:10 62:6 64:21 83:11 85:11 reasonable [22] 8:23 9:1,4,6,12 **10**:5 **17**:15 **20**:18 **33**:2,8,10,21 **34**: 9 42:3,4 43:19,22 46:5 50:25 83:6 88:16.18 reasons [1] 52:14 recall [12] 4:5 5:13 7:9 10:21 11:18 12:10 14:15 15:15 16:16 19:24 22: 17 **26:**18 recalls [1] 3:20

recast [3] 37:20.24 40:9

received [8] 14:19,19 15:4 16:23

receipts [1] 45:16

receive [1] 74:13

**17:**6 **48:**12 **72:**3.6 receiving [3] 27:4 29:15 46:10 recited [1] 66:9 reclaimed [1] 34:13 reconsider [1] 73:10 reconstruction [1] 45:12 record [3] 45:15 49:8 70:24 recorded [2] 1:33 85:20 recording [2] 1:33 90:2 recoverable [1] 37:11 rectified [10] 4:23,25 5:3,5,7,9,11 **7**:13,14 **15**:11 rectify [1] 9:10 reduced [1] 79:22 referring [1] 19:9 reflect [3] 15:3 19:6,7 reflected [8] 17:19 18:2 36:14 37: 1 38:13,15,21,24 reflects [1] 37:8 refresh [1] 78:21 refused [2] 32:4 85:23 regard [1] 5:8 regarding [1] 35:12 rehabilitation [1] 17:16 reinstate [7] 21:7 25:11 41:2 83:3, 9 85:4.9 reinstating [3] 42:18 87:12,21 reject [2] 30:12 31:9 rejected [2] 11:7 30:7 relate [2] 59:25 88:1 related [1] 85:17 relates [1] 87:18 relating [1] 62:3 reliance [1] 83:6 relief [8] 6:21 9:2,19,20,22 32:24 53:20 68:25 relitigate [2] 55:17 57:16 remember [1] 58:15 remembers [1] 77:9 remind [1] 15:4 remitted [1] 64:16 renovated [2] 30:15,16 rent [13] 13:11 26:22.24 27:24 30: 10.20.23 31:6.9.25 32:7.7 37:8 rental [4] 26:17 27:4.8.20 rents [5] 26:25 36:24 48:8,13 71: 17 repaid [1] 44:2 repairs [3] 27:22,24 28:2 repaying [1] 35:5 repayment [1] 12:16 reply [1] 2:24 report [6] 14:18 28:2 36:23 38:22 48.2 23 reports [17] 5:24 6:9 14:18 15:24 **17**:20,22,23,24,25 **18**:2,6,16,20 **19**: 5.16 **26:**1 **27:**14 representation [1] 86:23 representations [1] 86:21 represented [2] 12:13 58:16 representing [4] 2:12 15:13 65:6,

request [1] 3:8 requested [2] 31:24,24 requests [1] 44:1 require [3] 16:14 62:11 66:21 required [7] 14:17 15:5 22:1 35:22 50:15 68:18 78:23 requires [2] 50:13 69:1 requiring [1] 19:22 requisite [1] 71:18 resolution [5] 63:10,13 64:22 70: 19.20 resolve [2] 64:10 65:4 resolved [1] 71:22 resolves [1] 64:11 respect [10] 3:24 9:10 10:8,15,19, 23 16:4 25:21 29:21 81:20 respecting [1] 14:17 respond [1] 87:6 response [3] 20:13 26:19 55:15 result [3] 22:18 24:22 83:5 resulted [1] 20:5 retroactive [1] 16:13 returned [2] 29:4 33:6 revenue [1] 27:4 richard [3] 1:14 2:11 24:13 ridiculous [2] 86:19 87:7 riemer [2] 1:29 90:4 rights 3 56:19 65:12 89:5 riker [2] 1:20 2:5 rise [1] 89:18 road [1] 1:31 roll [1] 26:24 rooker [5] 56:6.8.20 57:17.22 rule [8] 4:8 6:10 11:14 12:22 14:21 **24:**1 **28:**6 **55:**11 rules [1] 86:18

### S

salamon [3] 24:12,13,14 salary [10] 27:11,11 33:22,25 34:1 46:6,7,10,14,15 same [9] 7:17 35:8 55:14,17 56:19, 19 68:4 74:16 77:25 saratoga [1] 1:32 sassino [1] 12:23 saw [1] 2:25 saying [9] 8:9 17:17 54:21 63:6 68: 10 72:2,4,5 73:7 says [16] 3:6 8:17 23:19 27:3,8 33: 19 **34**:22 **38**:5 **41**:14 **47**:8 **50**:17,23 **75:**3,11,12 **81:**10 scenario [2] 31:25 84:7 scheduled [1] 82:14 schedules [4] 13:13,15 48:14,15 scherer [2] 1:20 2:5 schiff [1] 72:6 schwartz [123] 1:18 2:4,5,16,24 3: 2,15 **5**:1,4,13,18,23 **6**:4,18 **7**:4,8, 22,25 **8**:3,16 **9**:16 **10**:2,7,15,18 **12**: 1 **14:**5,7,13 **17:**21 **18:**5,10,13,15, 19,23 19:2,4 20:20,22 21:5,9,16, 19,24 22:4,6,16 25:14 26:6,11,15

**38**:21 **43**:5 **47**:20 **49**:15,20 **50**:6,8,

11,16,23 **51**:7,20,22 **52**:3,20 **53**:12 16,18 54:2,9,14,25 55:5,9,22 56:4, 11,14,23 **57**:6,24 **58**:6 **59**:8 **60**:2 **61:**3 **62:**19 **66:**25 **67:**11,20,23,25 **68**:3,7 **73**:24 **74**:12 **75**:9 **76**:15,20, 24 77:4,10,12 78:2,7,10,15,18 79: 5,18 **80:**2,6,18,25 **81:**3,7,11,14,17 82:4.9 89:17 schwartz's [1] 35:15 scratch [2] 74:21 76:22 screen [1] 88:9 search [1] 70:25 second [4] 26:7,9,12 44:3 section [9] 8:17,25 15:5 16:4 20:8 **43:**20 **51:**18,21 **83:**1 sections [3] 9:1 21:2 43:22 secured [12] 21:14 23:7.10 24:17 **59:**25 **60:**1,5,7 **71:**14 **84:**7,21 **86:**4 securing [1] 58:17 security [2] 71:18 84:20 see [9] 12:7 17:17 53:6 57:2 67:21 69:15 75:3 85:19 89:15 seek [4] 3:24 31:17 55:17 64:15 seeking [4] 15:8 35:7 59:9 62:15 seeks [1] 57:15 seem [3] 24:2 61:17 86:13 seems [3] 10:1 35:10 62:3 seen [2] 20:21 44:23 segregate [1] 39:22 segregated [1] 47:6 sell [1] 32:3 send [3] 58:2 75:24 80:16 sending [1] 76:8 separate [3] 45:9,9 71:15 september [3] 75:16,17 76:7 serve [1] 19:23 service [3] 1:30,34 27:16 services [2] 27:8,15 set [13] 3:3 4:4,17,19,21 5:17 24:6 **26**:21,23 **45**:10 **47**:6 **67**:5 **86**:17 sets [1] 54:19 settle [1] 77:19 several [2] 33:12.14 shall [6] 3:9 9:19.20.23 50:17.19 sham [1] 83:21 shape [1] 88:24 shari [2] 1:29 90:4 she'd [1] 39:10 shed [3] 13:9,10,16 sheet [1] 47:12 short [1] 52:14 shortcut [1] 66:11 **shouldn't** [5] **7**:1 **11**:9,24 **59**:11 **73**: **show** [20] **9**:14 **17**:23,24 **18**:6,7,7, 17 **19**:16 **28**:14 **29**:22 **32**:14,22 **33**: 1 **37:**15 **39:**24,25 **43:**18 **48:**15 **68:** 13 87-17 showed [3] 4:5 28:2 29:10 shown [1] 45:19 shows [3] 9:25 32:24 77:22 sic [1] 11:14

side [2] 74:5 82:10 signed [13] 54:13,14,15 56:1,2,21 **66**:19 **68**:5,9,21 **73**:16 **79**:9 **80**:6 simply [4] 11:7 58:12 64:7 83:18 since [14] 4:5 17:24 18:14,15 21: 20 22:6 29:25 31:5 32:6 34:12 35: 21 44:10 52:20 72:3 single [2] 30:2 36:2 sit [2] 31:15 85:24 situation [4] 6:22 9:10 57:20 80: six [1] 20:7 sixty [2] 31:7,8 smoothly [3] 87:4,8 88:7 sold [1] 31:3 solely [1] 61:5 somebody [1] 41:15 somehow [1] 34:8 someone [2] 11:5 67:8 sometimes [1] 82:20 somewhere [3] 23:20 45:17 48:12 sonex [13] 57:7 58:1 61:19 62:3,14 **63**:9 **64**:20,23 **65**:5 **67**:4 **72**:20 **77**: 13,14 soon [1] **76:**10 sorry [6] 26:1 39:13 51:15,20 73: 24 83:22 sort [2] 44-11 47-22 sound [2] 1:33 90:2 sovereign [69] 1:18 2:6,20 3:21,24 **7:**15 **14:**9,10,18 **16:**15,18,20 **17:**6, 8.10 **19**:6.23 **21**:11.17.20.23 **22**:8. 10.18.20 23:2.9 24:19.22 25:10 28: 17 **29**:15 **35**:22 **36**:21 **37**:14 **38**:20 **39**:17 **40**:8,19 **41**:6,7,23 **42**:10 **44**: 17 **46**:23 **47**:7,18 **54**:12 **55**:13 **57**: 14 **59:**21 **61:**5 **62:**15,22 **63:**2,8,17 **64**:5 **70**:24 **73**:23 **76**:12 **78**:24 **79**: 19,25 **84**:3 **85**:12 **86**:22 **87**:15 **88**: sovereign's [19] 17:12 25:8 26:20 **48**:18 **58**:13 **59**:18 **63**:6,14 **64**:12 66:11 67:12 70:21 72:25 74:14 76: 8 82:10 85:15 87:19 88:22 specialized [2] 64:25 65:2 specific [1] 67:3 specifically [9] 3:7 8:17,20 9:21 **11:**15,19 **15:**24 **32:**24 **69:**12 speculation [1] 24:4 speedwall [1] 1:22 spent [1] 29:11 spike [1] 13:22 **spirit** [1] **7**:12 spoke [2] 41:6 85:22 **springs** [1] **1:**32 square [1] 73:10 stand [3] 34:21 56:23 59:21 start [8] 37:2 39:2 52:18,19,22 62: 2 77:11.17 started [2] 28:6 44:18

starting [5] 26:17 36:23 49:12 76:

22 77:3

**57:**8.9.13.21 **58:**3.4.11.14.24.25 **59**:13 **61**:14,15 **62**:7,9 **63**:24 **64**:4, 8,23 **65**:24 **67**:2 **68**:18 **70**:1,9 **72**: 13,24 73:1,10,14,19,22 74:2,6,20 **75**:8,24 **76**:4 **77**:13 **79**:3 **80**:16 **81**: stated [1] 86:7 statement [16] 21:5,12 23:1,2 26: 11,13 **27:**22 **37:**22 **38:**14,16 **40:**10, 20 **47**:22 **77**:22 **82**:15 **85**:2 statements [4] 26:5,7 29:9 80:12 states [6] 1:11,24,26 2:8 39:19,20 status [1] 76:9 statute [12] 3:5,6 10:3 32:13 33:19 **49**:5 **50**:12,16,23 **51**:18,21 **53**:9 stay [43] 6:21 53:20,21 54:22 55:3, 8 **56**:13 **57**:3,5,15 **58**:23 **59**:3,5,11 **60**:6,8,13,16,21,22,24 **61**:5,18,21, 24 65:22 69:8,16,17,18,18,19,22, 25 70:2,3,10 72:21,22 74:2,5 76: 11 77:18 steady [2] 26:16 27:9 step [3] 24:19 39:17 68:18 steps [1] 74:9 still [9] 7:24 42:20 44:6 56:3 64:18 68:12 70:23 72:2 84:13 stop [2] 11:3 89:10 stopped [1] 7:23 storage [6] 13:6,8,9,10,11,16 stored [1] 13:16 storing [1] 13:9 story [4] 3:5 4:1 16:3 83:7 stream [1] 26:17 street [1] 13:7 stress [1] 3:4 stronger [1] 20:10 **subject** [5] **11**:18 **12**:12 **18**:6 **23**:3 89:15 submit [47] 3:22 5:6,11 6:5,11 8:4, 6,16 9:7,9 15:10,20 16:9,24 17:2,7 25 **19**:16 **20**:9 **25**:2,6,7,14 **28**:22, 24 **29**:4,7,16,19 **37**:2,6 **38**:10 **40**:9 **54**:11 **66**:7.22 **68**:16.19 **70**:10.12. 12 77:22.24 78:11.22 80:3 88:17 submitted [11] 61:4 66:12 68:20 **75**:5,13,20 **76**:5 **77**:6 **78**:19 **79**:19, submitting [2] 66:8 68:19 subordinated [2] 14:2.9 subsection [1] 50:18 substant [1] 56:17 substantial [8] 17:14 28:22,23 36: 2 **47**:24 **64**:11 **74**:13 **81**:6 substantially [1] 16:8 **substantive** [5] **56**:18 **57**:1,6,8,13 substantively [1] 88:11 successful [1] 31:18 suffered [1] 47:24 suggest [2] 81:14,15 suggested [1] 83:16 suite [1] 1:16

state [46] 24:23 54:17 55:12 56:16 sultan [1] 13:22 summary [6] 62:8 73:20 74:8,14 **79:**13,24 super [1] 35:7 support [6] 29:22 45:14 67:14 72: 9 75:5.14 **suppose** [1] **57:**20 supposed [3] 42:5 79:22,23 suspect [1] 13:19 suspiciously [1] 29:2 talichian [1] 67:13 talked [7] 12:4 13:14,22 47:25 48: 4.6.11 talks [4] 24:24,25 48:9 88:25 tax [2] 62:12,24 taxes [4] 22:9 62:13,25,25 taxing [1] 64:17 tea [1] 39:10 tenant [1] 65:4 tenant's [1] 14:23 tend [1] 18:5 terminate [3] 6:19,20,22 terminating [1] 31:14 terms [3] 41:3 85:5 87:9 testified [6] 13:5 24:13 34:16,20 35:6 55:11 testimony [3] 13:18 24:1 29:5 theory [1] 74:1 there's [62] 2:17 4:2 5:5,19 6:13,

35:6 55:11
testimony [3] 13:18 24:1 29:5
theory [1] 74:1
there's [62] 2:17 4:2 5:5,19 6:13,
15 8:6,23 9:9,12 10:1,5,11,20 12:
21 13:1,8,16 17:23 19:20 20:14 21:
22 23:7,8,8 24:3,5,7,7,23,25 28:22
33:8,10 35:17 36:1 38:3,9 39:12,
20 42:1,2,2,3,3,6,6 43:19 44:20 53:
19 57:4,22 61:14 63:1 65:5,15 70:
7 72:7 76:18,21 79:7,13
therefore [5] 62:10 64:6 74:7,8 89:
7
they'll [1] 77:24

they've [2] 23:17 60:19 thinking [1] 5:16 thinks [1] 44:7 thirty [3] 50:18 51:4 88:19 though [6] 6:17 16:4 46:25 55:25 57:2 67:4 thousand [2] 22:5 36:11 thousands [2] 6:4 12:7

three [8] 11:3 30:20,21 39:12,13 40:6 70:18 87:22 three-and-a-half [4] 4:16,20 7:10

**39**:15 throughout [1] **14**:10

tingling [14] 67:18 68:20 70:11,13 71:24 72:17,19 74:22 76:18 77:16, 20 78:6 79:12 80:12

20 **78**:6 **79**:12 **80**:12 **tingling's** [1] **75**:11 **title** [2] **9**:1 **43**:21 **today** [8] **2**:24 **43**:7 **5**1

today 8 2:24 43:7 51:7,8 56:23 59:21 80:12 87:13 today's 3 2:17 16:22 50:22

together [1] 23:7

tomorrow [1] 49:14 took [7] 4:8 12:8 20:24 33:25 34: 17 **56:**14 **74:**9 total [7] 12:3 18:24 21:25 24:9 26: 25 27:4 48:2 totally [1] 25:25 trace [1] 48:19 tracked [1] 13:17 transcriber [1] 1:29 transcript [4] 1:9,33 44:23 90:1 transcription [1] 1:34 transfer [1] 45:20 transfers [7] 29:1,2,3,8,18 44:15 **45**:18 treat [10] 40:19 41:1 44:7 82:22 83: 12 84:14.16 85:8 86:4 87:19 treated [3] 21:15 40:20 85:21 treating [9] 41:1 42:12 84:8,25 85: 5,15,17 86:6 88:23 treatment [11] 20:25 21:11 25:10 40:18,21 41:23 42:15 84:17 85:1 87:19 88:22 trial [2] 49:21 79:13 tribunal [2] 64:25 65:2 tribunals [1] 73:4 tried [3] 39:24 57:21 65:1 truly [1] 39:20 trustee [9] 1:24,24,26 2:8,20 7:11 20:5 44:1 46:3 trustee's [1] 20:5 try [3] 31:16 43:9 50:25 trying [3] 6:22 37:20 42:25 tuesday [1] 49:14 tune [1] 32:17 turn [2] 81:23,25 twice [1] 49:3

### U

two [13] 4:19 10:6 19:20 22:12 24:

**73**:4 **87**:21

type [3] 13:11,25 15:17

typewrite [1] 1:30

22,25 28:15 30:22 52:1 60:10 71:5

u.s [7] 1:24 2:20 7:11 20:5,5 44:1 ultimately [2] 22:19 42:23 unauthorized [2] 16:8 20:11 unclear [1] 12:24 unconfirmable [7] 25:15 35:10 42:8 82:18 84:15,16 87:18 under [28] 8:17,23 9:3 16:2 17:11 **19**:19 **20**:8 **22**:1,14 **23**:14 **25**:19 **27**:7,15,20 **31**:6 **42**:13 **46**:3 **50**:18 **58**:1 **62**:8 **64**:9,20,20 **70**:1 **72**:20 83:12 88:23 89:6 underestimated [1] 27:6 understand [9] 4:13 9:18 10:3 33: 20 37:23 46:17 73:24 82:4 87:14 understanding [6] 59:10 74:12, 19 77:4 78:18 80:20 understands [1] 49:5 understood [2] 77:7 78:20 undertake [1] 58:13

undertook [1] 46:2 unfamiliar [1] 81:8 unfiled [1] 66:20 unfortunately [1] 49:15 unhappy [1] 24:23 unimpaired [31] 21:4,15 23:18 40: 19,21,25 **41:**1,2,11,11,15 **42:**12,12, 16,17,19 **82:**23 **83:**12 **84:**8,25 **85:**5, 8,18 **86**:2,4,5 **87**:15,20 **89**:1,4,7 united [4] 1:11,24,26 2:8 unless [14] 2:18,21 3:10 8:5 21:7 23:25 60:6,20,22 69:18,22 70:3 83: 3 85.2 unreasonable [1] 28:10 unsecured [9] 21:4 24:5,8,9,16 59: 21 71:14 84:7 86:5 unsubstantiated [1] 80:12 unsupported [2] 75:4,13 until [9] 28:8 51:1 53:3,5 57:9 76:7 80:17 81:17 84:22 unusual [11] 3:7 8:6,13,19 9:21,24 11:23 13:19 20:14 25:18 32:23 up [24] 4:17,19,21 6:11 16:15 17:17 **23**:22 **29**:5,21 **30**:4 **41**:23 **43**:24 **44**:2 **45**:10 **47**:6 **57**:9 **60**:12 **65**:8 **68**:9 **69**:4 **72**:15 **77**:21 **79**:3 **80**:24 urgence [1] 72:25 useful [1] 71:13 uses [1] 15:6 using 5 7:19 11:1,7 17:11 67:15

#### V

vacant [1] 60:11
value [2] 18:8 47:25
various [4] 23:7 32:18 74:15 77:5
via [1] 16:1
victory [1] 45:13
view [4] 5:6 8:9 17:9 54:8
violate [1] 69:17
violation [1] 7:11
violative [1] 7:12
vis-a-vis [1] 11:17
vividly [1] 3:20
void [1] 68:23
voluntarily [2] 40:1 44:2
vote [3] 42:17 86:2 89:7

#### W

voted [1] 84:12

wait [5] 30:1 63:2,2,2 80:16 waive [3] 31:25 46:14,14 waived [1] 23:23 walk [1] 23:22 wanted [2] 48:7 72:15 wanting [1] 54:1 wants [2] 48:24 76:17 wasting [1] 11:12 way [15] 7:17 42:12 49:6 64:19 67: 1 72:10 73:2 80:17 84:25 85:1 86: 5,13 87:2,7 88:24 website [2] 53:12,15 week [13] 4:8,19 11:15 12:14,15 14:

19 20:23 38:22 49:13,17,19,20,21

weekly [2] 12:20 14:18 weeks [2] 33:12.14 weight [2] 41:21,25 whatever [10] 19:11 44:10 46:19 48:20 70:12 71:24 75:21 78:22,25 84:24 whatsoever [2] 41:21 76:19 wheels [1] 39:9 where's [2] 9:14 68:1 whereas [1] 57:12 whether [38] 25:17,18 37:13 42:3, 4,5 **43**:3,4 **44**:16 **47**:23 **49**:24 **56**: 21,25 57:17,18 59:25 60:15 63:5 **65**:5 **66**:1 **67**:2 **71**:14,16,17 **75**:6,7 **76**:9 **79**:5,9,15,16 **84**:7,21 **86**:4 **87**: 15.21 88:1.3 who's [5] 12:11 44:12.14 46:7 65:6 whole [4] 48:3 55:9 65:1 87:3 will [28] 2:23 8:24 9:5 17:3 26:16 27:23 33:2 35:11 42:10,11 43:19 **52**:9 **53**:1 **59**:17 **70**:14,15,15 **73**:2 **75**:25 **77**:19,25 **78**:22,24 **81**:18,24, 24 83:20 85:24 william [2] 1:25 2:7 wins [1] 80:17

wit [1] 54:18
within [17] 8:24 9:1,6 31:7 43:20,
22 46:5 50:13 51:4,9,24 52:2 53:3
87:22,25 88:10,20
without [9] 6:21 16:25 17:12,12 18:
20 19:14 28:24 42:21 48:19
witnesses [1] 82:6
word [2] 1:30 81:13
words [2] 62:16 69:22
work [11] 24:2 45:14,14,16 49:16
74:3 83:23 86:15,16 88:5,13
working [3] 24:18 50:11 59:14
works [3] 50:6,8 67:1
worth [3] 23:21 39:13 46:9
write [1] 53:9

wish [1] 71:19

#### Υ

written [1] 82:18

yada [6] 3:10,10,10,12,12,12 yard [3] 74:23 79:1 80:10 year [2] 28:1 29:12 year-and [1] 80:13 years [2] 22:12 45:10 york [11] 1:17,17,28,32 62:9 66:17 67:1 68:15,25 81:9,19 yourself [2] 86:17 87:2